



PERSPECTIVES THAT DRIVE ENTERPRISE SUCCESS



PERIOD ENDING: MARCH 31, 2016

Investment Performance Review for

Tulare County Employees' Retirement Association

Table of contents



[VERUSINVESTMENTS.COM](https://verusinvestments.com)

SEATTLE 206-622-3700

LOS ANGELES 310-297-1777

SAN FRANCISCO 415-362-3484

Investment Landscape

TAB I

Investment Performance
Review

TAB II

PERSPECTIVES
THAT DRIVE
ENTERPRISE
SUCCESS

2ND QUARTER 2016
Investment Landscape

Table of contents



VERUSINVESTMENTS.COM

SEATTLE 206-622-3700

LOS ANGELES 310-297-1777

SAN FRANCISCO 415-362-3484

Economic environment	4
----------------------	---

Fixed income rates & credit	16
-----------------------------	----

Equity	22
--------	----

Other assets	33
--------------	----

Appendix	41
----------	----

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. This document is provided for informational purposes only and is directed to institutional clients and eligible institutional counterparties only and is not intended for retail investors. Nothing herein constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice, or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold a security or pursue a particular investment vehicle or any trading strategy. This document may include or imply estimates, outlooks, projections and other “forward-looking statements.” No assurance can be given that future results described or implied by any forward looking information will be achieved. Investing entails risks, including possible loss of principal. Verus Advisory Inc. and Verus Investors, LLC (“Verus”) file a single form ADV under the United States Investment Advisors Act of 1940, as amended.

1st quarter summary

THE ECONOMIC CLIMATE

- Global growth expectations continue to be revised downward. Emerging economies continue to struggle while developed economies continue to grow modestly. U.S. real GDP grew more slowly quarter-over-quarter at 1.4%. *p. 6, 13*
- Global inflation remains low but some signs of positive price movement can be seen, partly due to the effects of lower oil price dissipating and stability in overall commodity markets. Global manufacturing overcapacity will likely act as a headwind to inflation for some time. *p. 13*

MARKET PORTFOLIO IMPACTS

- The U.S. dollar fell slightly in Q1 as expectations dampened regarding the pace of further Fed rate hikes. USD weakness will have benefited U.S. investors whose international assets are unhedged. *p. 39*
- Emerging market equities rebounded, helped by rising commodity prices and emerging currency appreciation. *p. 30, 32*

THE INVESTMENT CLIMATE

- During the first 10 trading days of 2016 the S&P 500 saw its worst start to a year ever. This drawdown was followed by a strong rally and full recovery in major equity markets. *p. 25, 40*
- The benefits of monetary easing seem to be waning. Interest rates in many nations are now in negative territory. The Federal Reserve has taken a more dovish stance, and the market now expects two rate increases in 2016. *p. 5, 18*

ASSET ALLOCATION ISSUES

- We continue to remain underweight risk, and believe that market risks are asymmetrically skewed to the downside. *p. 25, 31*
- With increasing probability of a Brexit, investors should be aware of the potential impacts to continental European risk premia. *p. 15*
- Inflation expectations remain low based on both survey and market pricing data, although investors have historically done a poor job of forecasting inflation. *p. 11*

We remain underweight to risk

Continue to be watchful of global growth and corporate earnings trends

Economic environment

U.S. economics summary

- U.S. real GDP growth expectations have fallen to 0.1% quarter-over-quarter, as of April 13th, according to the Atlanta Fed GDPNow. Lower consumer spending and weaker trade detracted from growth expectations.
- U.S. real GDP growth was 2% during 2015 (Q4 2014 to Q4 2015), slightly down from 2.1% in Q3. Consumption was the predominant driver of growth.
- Headline inflation during the quarter rose to 0.9% from 0.7% in December, and core inflation has shown a strong trend upwards. Both survey based and market based inflation expectations increased.
- The Federal Reserve left the Federal Funds Target Rate unchanged at its March meeting. Janet Yellen explained the Fed will remain accommodative, citing continued risks within global financial markets.
- The unemployment rate increased slightly to 5.0% from 4.9% in February. This rise in unemployment can be partly explained by the increase in the participation rate from 62.9% to 63.0% as new job seekers entered the market.

	Most Recent	12 Months Prior
GDP (<i>annual YoY</i>)	2.0% <i>12/31/15</i>	2.5% <i>12/31/14</i>
Inflation (<i>CPI, Headline</i>)	0.9% <i>3/31/16</i>	(0.1%) <i>3/31/15</i>
Expected Inflation (<i>5yr-5yr forward</i>)	1.8% <i>3/31/16</i>	2.0% <i>3/31/15</i>
Fed Funds Rate	0.25% <i>3/31/16</i>	0.12% <i>3/31/15</i>
10 Year Rate	1.8% <i>3/31/16</i>	1.9% <i>3/31/15</i>
U-3 Unemployment	5.0% <i>3/31/16</i>	5.5% <i>3/31/15</i>
U-6 Unemployment	9.8% <i>3/31/16</i>	10.9% <i>3/31/15</i>


U.S. economics – GDP growth

The U.S. economy grew 1.4% QoQ in the fourth quarter with a majority of that growth coming from the consumer. Household spending has been the strongest driver of expansion contributing nearly 1.7% to growth. A tightening job market has contributed to consumer spending strength. The participation rate moved up and we are beginning to see upward pressure on wages. Inventory investment remains a patch of weakness and has been a drag on U.S. growth over the past two quarters. Additionally, the stronger U.S. dollar has acted

as a headwind for U.S. exports – further detracting from fourth quarter GDP. The economy grew at 2.0% for the year. Looking ahead to growth in GDP, companies will still face headwinds as inventory build has been strong and demand for durable goods is weak. Additionally, multi-national companies continue to face the risk of a stronger dollar which would put downward pressure on profits. As of April 13th, the Atlanta Fed GDPNow indicator is forecasting disappointing growth of 0.1% for Q1 2016.

Consumer expenditures were the only notable contributor to growth in Q4

LONG-TERM U.S. GDP GROWTH




Source: FRED, as of 12/31/15

MEDIUM-TERM U.S. GDP GROWTH



Source: FRED, as of 12/31/15

GDP COMPONENTS



Source: FRED

U.S. economics – unemployment


The U.S. labor market was mixed in Q1. The unemployment rate increased slightly to 5.0% from 4.9% in February. However, higher unemployment was influenced by a 0.1% rise in the labor participation rate to 63.0% as new job seekers entered the market. Unemployment duration rose slightly after multiple years of improvement since the financial crisis.

The labor market continued to add jobs, with nonfarm payrolls increasing by 215,000 in March vs an expected

205,000. Average hourly wages have steadily improved since the financial crisis, with the recent print at 2.3% year-over-year.


It is interesting to dive deeper into unemployment duration and examine the magnitude of 2008-2009 deterioration by age group rather than an aggregate figure. The drastic increases in unemployment duration for older workers shows the unevenness of the situation across age groups.

UNEMPLOYMENT SINCE 1948




Source: Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

UNEMPLOYMENT DURATION



Source: OECD, as of 12/31/14

LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATE



Source: FRED, as of 3/31/16

U.S. economics – the consumer

Consumer expenditures predominantly drove real GDP in Q4, as other components of GDP rested near zero growth. We continue to be watchful of these trends as consumer credit expansion and spending is a key tenant of economic growth.

The condition of the U.S. consumer base has improved as the labor market tightens, real wage growth continues at a moderate pace, debt payments remain manageable relative to history, credit growth is

trending up, and current levels of savings leave room for higher consumption levels.


However, the average health of the consumer base may be somewhat deceptive due to unevenness across demographics. Rising student loan burdens are dampening housing demand and spending habits of younger individuals, as the price of education has outstripped broader inflation measures.

CREDIT GROWTH




Source: FRED, as of 2/1/16

PERSONAL SAVINGS RATE



Source: FRED, as of 2/1/16

GROWTH OF DISPOSABLE INCOME



Source: FRED, as of 2/1/16

U.S. economics – sentiment


Consumer sentiment has stabilized in a normal range after trending upwards following the financial crisis. The Citi Economic Surprise index remains near the lower end of a normal range as economic data has missed expectations on average.

Sentiment may be an increasingly important indicator in the near future as the consumer remains a core support of economic growth. Given the positive fundamentals surrounding the consumer - ability to

borrow, to increase spending through less savings, and the prospects of higher real wages – positive sentiment may be a deciding factor in economic growth.


Despite this environment, consumers remain stubbornly timid which may be an indication of deeper economic problems. We believe the cautious state of consumers, given the reliance of the economy on consumption in the current environment, creates downside risks for growth and the markets.

CONSUMER COMFORT INDEX




Source: Bloomberg, as of 3/27/16 (see Appendix)

CONSUMER SENTIMENT



Source: University of Michigan, as of 3/31/16 (see Appendix)

ECONOMIC SURPRISE



Source: Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16 (see Appendix)

U.S. economics – housing


Home prices continued a healthy appreciation of 5.4% year-over-year as of January, according to the S&P/Case-Shiller US National Home Price Index, though values remain below pre-crisis levels. Affordability is materially above average despite a recovery in prices. A low rate of homeownership may indicate pent up demand.

A steady rise in housing prices over previous years has

affected housing affordability, though near-record low borrowing rates have had an overwhelmingly positive effect on affordability, resulting in a net positive. Further tightening of the labor and wages increase should flow through to strength in housing.


Large student loan burdens have delayed home purchases, and millennials have been starting families at a later age which also affects purchasing habits.

HOME AFFORDABILITY




Source: National Association of Realtors, as of 12/31/15

HOMEOWNERSHIP RATE



Source: FRED, as of 1/1/2016

NEW & EXISTING HOME SALES



Source: Bloomberg, as of 2/29/16


U.S. economics – inflation

Inflation expectations rose materially during Q1, as proxied by the 10yr TIPS Breakeven Rate and the University of Michigan Inflation Expectations Survey.

Headline inflation exhibited volatility in Q1 but remains muted. Core inflation (ex-food & energy) has shown a strong uptrend in recent months, buoyed most recently by a rise in housing and medical costs. We remain watchful of domestic inflation; if the dollar depreciates and wages increase, we could see the return of price increases which also may affect the path of Fed policy.


As we have mentioned before, investors' track record at forecasting inflation has been very poor. We can conclude, however, that times of low inflation tend to coincide with depressed prices for those assets which are held to protect against rises in inflation. Investors feeling the dual effect of lower inflation expectations along with losses from their inflation protecting assets can easily become discouraged and divest. However, today's prices may present an inopportune time to sell, and as history suggests we should not place too much weight on the market's expectation of future inflation.

LONG-TERM U.S. CPI (YOY)



Source: FRED, as of 3/31/16

MEDIUM-TERM U.S. CPI (YOY)



Source: FRED, as of 3/31/16

MARKET EXPECTATIONS OF INFLATION



Source: Bloomberg, University of Michigan, as of 3/31/16

International economics summary

- Global growth remains sluggish. The IMF currently forecast growth of 3.2% in 2016, down from 3.4% in January. Upcoming geopolitical tensions surrounding Brexit and the current migrant crisis may have implications on GDP growth this year.
- China remains in the headlines. However, the country continues to be the largest growth engine among both developed and developing economies. China is in the midst of large structural shifts, but it should not be assumed that an economic crisis is inevitable.
- Euro area unemployment has come down about a percent from last year to 10.3%, but youth unemployment remains at extremely high levels, with February coming in at 21.6%, up nearly a percent from last year.
- The ECB further eased existing monetary policy. Among other accommodations, they moved their deposit rate into further negative territory and they included investment grade bonds as a part of eligible investment vehicles.
- Despite adopting negative interest rates in January, Japan has struggled to catalyze strong economic growth and lift inflation.
- After further conversations surrounding the anticipated Brexit, markets are likely to remain volatile until June 23rd, the date of the referendum.

Area	GDP (Real, YoY)	Inflation (CPI)	Unemployment
United States	2.0% 12/31/15	0.9% 3/31/16	5.0% 3/31/16
Western Europe	1.6% 12/31/15	0.0% 3/31/16	8.7% 12/31/15
Japan	0.7% 12/31/15	0.3% 2/29/16	3.3% 2/29/16
BRIC Nations	4.6% 12/31/15	3.9% 12/31/15	5.0% 12/31/15
Brazil	(5.9%) 12/31/15	10.4% 2/29/16	7.4% 12/31/15
Russia	(3.8%) 12/31/15	7.3% 3/31/16	5.7% 12/31/15
India	7.3% 12/31/15	5.2% 2/29/16	8.2% 4/12/16
China	6.8% 12/31/15	2.3% 2/29/16	4.1% 12/31/15

International economics


With continued downward revisions to global GDP, many countries are feeling the effects of suppressed inflation, lackluster growth, and waning consumer confidence. As a result, the first quarter saw multiple expansions of monetary policy, notably the ECB further cutting their deposit rate to -0.4% and the BOJ joining NIRP and implementing a deposit rate of -0.1% on excess reserves.

Western Europe continues to face uncertainty surrounding the migrant crisis. Policy around this challenge may affect border control and free movement of people issues which could have effects on trade within the EU. A possible Brexit

may affect the ability of the European Union's central institutions to act in a coordinated manner when needed to address economic issues.


Emerging markets experienced ongoing deflationary forces including the downturn in the global commodity cycle, repatriation of developed market sourced capital, and slowing economic activity in China. As some of these effects flatten or reverse course in the coming months, emerging market countries may see an opportunity for improvement.

INTERNATIONAL INFLATION (CPI)




Source: Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

REAL GDP GROWTH



Source: Bloomberg, as of 12/31/15

CONSUMER CONFIDENCE



Source: Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

China – the world's question mark

The Chinese economy continues to grow at a steady, yet slower pace than recent years. Considerable investments into infrastructure over the years produced large gains in industrial capacity which has led to excesses following the growth slowdown. The government is investing to reduce this excess capacity and reposition workers which will be a fragile process given the significant employment shifts required to bring the economy to equilibrium.


Unemployment in China is very low (4.1% in Q4), economic growth is among the highest in the world (6.8% in Q4), inflation is moderate (2.3% in February), they

remain a net creditor with a healthy current account, and foreign-exchange reserves are large at around \$3.2 Trillion USD. Debt levels in the country are worrying (237% of GDP in Q1), though mostly owned internally which poses less risk to global investors.


China's deceleration has had far reaching impacts across the globe and the country continues to own its share of economic problems. However, China remains the world's largest contributor to growth and potentially a large source of untapped consumer demand.

Valid concerns for the future but many strong economic indicators still persist


DRIVERS OF GLOBAL GROWTH



TOTAL GDP – 4 LARGEST ECONOMIES



CURRENCY RESERVES



Brexit – it's complicated

“Brexit” refers to the U.K. voting to leave the European Union. Current polling seems equally balanced regarding the chance of an exit. Market sentiment assumes that Brexit would be a negative result, but the reality is likely more nuanced.

During the early 1990s the U.K. joined the Exchange Rate Mechanism, a currency management agreement regarded as a precursor to the Euro, tying U.K. monetary policy to that of Germany. The strains involved produced “Black Wednesday”, when George Soros and other hedge funds forced the Bank of England to pull out of the


mechanism. Despite predictions of disaster the resulting economic expansion was one of the longest in U.K. history. Similar predictions of doom around the decision of the U.K. not to enter the Euro also proved wrong.

While Brexit could cause volatility, the long term effect for the U.K. (if not for the other EU members) could turn out to be positive as much as negative. Similarly, a vote to stay in could turn market attention to a new raft of regulatory proposals from an EU no longer restrained by the danger of U.K. threats to leave. As always the future is hard to predict.

Brexit would not necessarily be bad


Remaining would not necessarily be good

UK GDP QOQ



Source: UK Office for National Statistics, as of 12/31/2015.
Shaded area represents UK membership of ERM

BREXIT POLLS



Source: YouGov, as of 4/18/2016

DIFFERENT ECONOMIES

	UK	Europe
GDP YoY	2.1%	1.6%
Inflation	0.5%	0.0%
Unemployment	5.1%	8.7%
10 Year Interest Rate	1.48%	0.16%

Source: UK Office for National Statistics, Bloomberg

Fixed income rates & credit

Interest rate environment

- With significant volatility in financial markets at the start of 2016, investors fled to U.S. 10 Year Treasuries which rallied from 2.3% at the start of the year to 1.65% a week into February, then retraced some of that move to 1.77%.
- Interest rates decreased broadly across developed markets in Q4, most notably in the U.S. and Germany. Australia and China saw little movement in their 10 year rate.
- Global financial market turmoil has pushed investors into safe haven assets such as U.S. Treasuries which continue to be one of the higher yielding developed market bonds.
- The ECB expanded their existing monetary policy by further cutting rates to -40 bps. The German bund rallied from over 60 bps at the start of the year to under 9 bps in early April.
- Japan implemented a negative interest rate on excess deposits in January, which brought their 10 year yield into negative territory for the first time ever.
- As the U.S. continues to contemplate further rate hikes, most other countries are contemplating easier monetary policy with intention of devaluing currency, increasing investment, and generating economic growth.

Area	Short Term (3M)	10 Year
United States	0.20%	1.77%
Germany	(0.59%)	0.15%
France	(0.42%)	0.49%
Spain	(0.23%)	1.43%
Italy	(0.22%)	1.22%
Greece	2.63%	8.48%
UK	0.45%	1.42%
Japan	(0.09%)	-0.035%
Australia	2.06%	2.49%
China	2.10%	2.84%
Brazil	13.99%	13.97%
Russia*	9.79%	9.09%

Source: Bloomberg, as of 3/31/15

*Shortest term rate for Russia is 1Y

Negative interest rates


- Facing limitations of already record low policy rates, a few central banks have adopted a novel monetary policy approach phenomenon, NIRP (Negative Interest Rate Policy).
- Negative deposit rates have further suppressed global sovereign yields that were already at historic lows.
- With limited ability to expand balance sheets and no ability to push rates lower, the Eurozone pioneered the implementation of NIRP to achieve target inflation levels and spur economic growth.
- While investors still seem to be prepared to hold bonds at these negative rates, implications include adverse effects on banking profitability and investors being pushed towards taking excessive risk. Additionally, the U.S. has seen a tailwind in the form of dollar strength as other currencies continue to be devalued.
- The consequences of the spread of NIRP remain to be seen and there is little historical data to rely on when assessing likely outcomes.




Source: Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

Yield environment

U.S. YIELD CURVE




GLOBAL GOVERNMENT YIELD CURVES




The U.S. yield curve has come down across all major tenors since the end of the fourth quarter

YIELD CURVE CHANGES OVER LAST FIVE YEARS



IMPLIED CHANGES OVER NEXT YEAR



Source: Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

Credit environment

High yield spreads and high yield energy spreads widened to begin the year, in line with a general market wide risk-off move. However, spreads retraced on the back of the oil rebound and market rally.


Credit spreads remain above average but in a normal range. A number of sectors, particularly the high yield energy space, are depending on continuing access to the credit markets. Were spreads to stay elevated or move higher, the ongoing viability of these issuance

dependent companies may be increasingly called into question.

The perceived risk of emerging market debt remains high, and country specific. The effect of lower oil prices on commodity exporters is notable, and this has contributed to spreads remaining at higher levels compared to intermediate term history. The recent increase in the oil price has brought spreads closer towards average levels.


Sensitivity of high yield spreads to oil is much higher in a low oil price environment

CREDIT SPREADS



Source: Barclays Capital Indices, Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

EMERGING MARKET SPREADS



Source: Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

SPREADS

Market	Credit Spread (3/31/2016)	Credit Spread (1 Year Ago)
Long US Corporate	2.1%	1.8%
US Aggregate	1.1%	1.0%
US High Yield	7.0%	5.1%
US High Yield Energy	11.9%	9.3%
US Bank Loans	3.9%	3.9%

Source: Barclays, Credit Suisse, Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

Issuance and default

Issuance has slowed significantly across the higher-risk debt markets. Lending standards are tightening, covenants increasing and high yield defaults are accelerating. These movements suggest that the credit cycle is maturing. We remain cautious regarding overexposure to higher risk credit. We would suggest investors tilt accordingly – bank loans vs. high yield, high grade corporate vs. high yield, and so on.

Defaults are rising in the high yield market, fueled by the energy sector. Hedges put in place by energy-


related companies continue to roll off. There exists a surprising level of funding available through distressed credit funds which may make its way to struggling firms and perhaps prolong the pain and prevent the needed restructuring within the industry.

With continued issues in the credit market, investors should take care to understand and control the degree of credit exposure in portfolios, and where possible tilt gently away from high yield rather than increasing exposures.

Credit cycle appears to be maturing


We favor investment grade over higher risk issues

IG & HIGH YIELD ISSUANCE




Source: Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

BANK LOAN & GLOBAL HY ISSUANCE



Source: BofA Merrill Lynch Global Research, as of 3/31/16

HY DEFAULT TRENDS (ROLLING 1 YEAR)



Source: Credit Suisse, BofA, as of 3/31/15

Equity

Equity environment

- We remain underweight to risk assets. Market risks continue to appear asymmetrical to the downside.
- The first quarter earnings growth estimate for the S&P 500 was -9.1% as of April 8th. If an earnings decline is reported it will mark the first time since the four quarters ending Q3 2009 that the index has experienced four consecutive quarters of earnings contraction (see slide 31 for further coverage of domestic and international earnings trends).
- Telecom and utilities sectors showed strong performance in Q1 at 16.6% and 15.6%, respectively. Financials and health care underperformed at -5.1% and -5.5%.
- Size and value factors have failed to produce positive premia over the past 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 years.
- Emerging market equities fell to start the year but have since rallied along with a bounce in commodity prices. Strong appreciation in emerging market currencies have also buoyed returns for U.S. investors in these markets.
- The U.S. dollar fell in Q1, resulting in gains for domestic investors with unhedged international currency exposure.

	QTD Total Return (unhedged)	QTD Total Return (hedged)	YTD Total Return (unhedged)	YTD Total Return (hedged)	1 Year Total Return (unhedged)	1 Year Total Return (hedged)
US Large Cap (Russell 1000)	1.2%		1.2%		0.5%	
US Small Cap (Russell 2000)	(1.5%)		(1.5%)		(9.8%)	
US Large Value (Russell 1000 Value)	1.6%		1.6%		(1.5%)	
US Large Growth (Russell 1000 Growth)	0.7%		0.7%		2.5%	
International Large (MSCI EAFE)	(3.0%)	(6.0%)	(3.0%)	(6.0%)	(8.3%)	(11.2%)
Eurozone (Euro Stoxx 50)	(3.3%)	(7.5%)	(3.3%)	(7.5%)	(11.6%)	
UK (FTSE 100)	(2.2%)	(2.4%)	(2.2%)	(2.4%)	(7.6%)	(10.2%)
Japan (NIKKEI 225)	(4.8%)	(12.3%)	(4.8%)	(12.3%)	(5.3%)	(13.4%)
Emerging Markets (MSCI Emerging Markets)	5.7%	2.5%	5.7%	2.5%	(12.0%)	(9.5%)

Source: Russell Investments, MSCI, STOXX, FTSE, Nikkei, as of 3/31/16

Domestic equity historical return


The domestic equity recovery coming out of the financial crisis has been driven fairly equally by strong earnings growth and strong upward movement in valuations. From a fundamental perspective we may need to see an improvement in earnings growth from the current contracting trend in order to realize further equity market upside, barring additional expansion of equity valuations which seems unlikely at current levels.

We remain underweight risk on an intermediate

timeframe. Risks today seem asymmetrical to the downside as corporate earnings turn lower, global growth expectations continue to be revised downward, and credit markets indicate there may be pain ahead.


However, equity exposure remains an integral part of the portfolio and is the primary means for investors to access the long-term productive capacity of the economy. Shorter term disruption notwithstanding, the equity investment experience remains positive over the long term.

LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE




Source: FRED, as of 3/31/16

S&P 500 EPS ESTIMATE & PRICE



Source: Standard & Poor's, as of 3/31/16

DOWNSIDE EVENTS



Source: FRED, as of 3/31/16

Domestic equity recent


The U.S. equity market has effectively been range-bound since late 2014. The market fell sharply to start the year amid risk-off sentiment and global growth concerns, but retraced losses in March.

The return of risk-on sentiment and a dovish Fed helped stabilize equity markets. The timing of rate hikes continues to add uncertainty; however, market expectations of such a rise have been pushed back once again.

Weak corporate earnings may be a headwind for equity markets in the short-term. For Q1, the April 8th estimate of year over year earnings decline was -9.1%. If a decline does occur, it will mark four consecutive quarters of earnings contraction. With the effect of monetary easing diminishing, investors may find it more difficult to overlook another poor earnings season. Investors should remember, however, that low expectations for earnings growth provide the possibility for positive earnings surprise which might have the effect of changing sentiment.


Keep watchful of domestic equities as earnings growth remains negative

SHORT TERM PERFORMANCE (3YR)




Source: Russell Investments, as of 3/31/16

SMALL/LARGE & GROWTH/VALUE



Source: Russell Investments, as of 3/31/16

FORWARD P/E



Source: Standard & Poor's, Russell Investments, as of 3/31/16


Equity volatility

Despite starting the year with heightened volatility due to a risk-off sentiment, uncertainty in global central bank policy, lowered economic growth expectations, and concerns around financial market stability, equity volatility came down to more normal levels towards the end of the quarter. Most major markets recovered losses from the start of the year and found stable ground as central banks continued a dovish stance, oil found a trading range from upper 30's to lower 40's, and the dollar continued to weaken.

Domestic volatility still remains the lowest compared to other major markets.


International markets are seeing more volatility as the Brexit referendum approaches, as questions continue regarding economic growth, and as geopolitical tensions persist. Emerging market volatility has come down slightly with the stabilization of oil prices.

LONG-TERM VOLATILITY




Source: CBOE, as of 3/31/16

INTERMEDIATE-TERM VOLATILITY



Source: CBOE, as of 3/31/16

INTERNATIONAL EQUITY VOLATILITY



Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16

Domestic equity size and style


Value stocks outperformed growth stocks during the quarter as the Russell 1000 Value Index and Russell 1000 Growth Index returned 1.6% and 0.7%, respectively. However, the value factor has not provided positive returns to investors over the past 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 years, leading many to question the efficacy of the value premium, or alternatively to suggest that a reversion to a value market is long overdue.

during the quarter. The Russell 1000 Index and Russell 2000 Index returned 1.2% and 1.5%, respectively. The Russell 1000 Index has outperformed the Russell 2000 Index on an absolute and risk-adjusted basis over the past 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, and 20 years.

This lack of performance from both the size premium and the value premium over the past 10-20 years is certainly worth noting. We will be examining these effects more closely during the coming quarters.

Large cap equities outperformed small cap equities

SMALL CAP VS LARGE CAP (YOY)




Source: Russell Investments, as of 3/31/16

VALUE VS GROWTH (YOY)



Source: Russell Investments, as of 3/31/16

ROLLING 5 YEAR RETURN



Source: Russell Investments, as of 3/31/16

Domestic equity valuations


Domestic equity valuations fell to start the year along with the broader equity market decline. Valuations of large cap equities have since returned to prior levels while small cap valuations remain lower.

Attempts to gauge whether equities are over or undervalued on a cross asset class basis is made difficult by the fact that bond yields are extremely low. Investors are willing (or forced) to hold greater allocations to risk assets as most safer assets offer very

low return potential. The natural level of equity valuations could reasonably be expected to be higher in today's environment as investors have fewer alternatives available to meet return targets.

The Shiller P/E ratio remains at a relatively elevated level, although it should be noted that this indicator does not necessarily imply, particularly in the current interest rate environment, that U.S. equities are necessarily due for a significant downturn.

12 MONTH FORWARD P/E



Source: Standard & Poor's, Russell Investments, as of 3/31/16

EQUITY YIELD LESS BOND YIELD



Source: Standard & Poor's, Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

SHILLER P/E LONG-TERM



Source: Shiller, as of 3/31/16

International equity historical return


Domestic equities exhibited a significant run over the past 10 years, which led investors with home country bias to outperform. However, international and domestic markets tend to move in cycles. Domestic equities underperformed international equities materially during the early 2000's.

Emerging market equities showed a strong rebound in Q1. Countries have seen deeply depressed valuations following the downturn of the commodity super cycle and weakened currencies. Severe pessimism

surrounding these markets has allowed for potential upside movement if/when expectations are adjusted.


Equities can be thought of in terms of the entire opportunity set – the global equity market. Through gaining exposure to the whole opportunity set, investors should expect to realize greater risk-adjusted returns due to less than perfect correlation across equity markets.

EAFE LONG TERM (USD)




Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16

EMERGING MARKETS LONG TERM (USD)



Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16

EM EFFECT ON GLOBAL EQUITY PORTFOLIO



Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16


International equity recent

The euro and yen strengthened despite relatively looser monetary policy, contributing to underperformance of developed international markets. Local currency appreciation has the dual effect of hurting the competitiveness of export prices, a negative for the equity markets, while helping returns of foreign investors who hold local equities on an unhedged basis. The net benefit/loss is determined by the nature of trade within each economy and the reaction of equity investors to the currency move.

In Europe, negative interest rates continue to put banks under pressure and financials were one of the worst performing sectors in Q1. Banks compose 14% of the index. Japan equity valuations continued lower after the sell-off, moving from a trailing P/E of 21 at the start of the year to 18.8 at quarter-end.


A weaker U.S. dollar and stronger commodity prices fueled a rally in emerging market equities in Q1.

SHORT-TERM PERFORMANCE




Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16

CUMULATIVE RETURN



Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16

USD/EUR, USD/YEN




Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16

International equity valuations

International valuations remain relatively cheap on a traditional P/E basis. However, P/E ratios can be deceiving due to their *point-in-time* nature (price of equities at a certain time divided by equities earnings at a certain time). As the value of equities are determined by the present value of future earnings it is worth noting the directional trend of earnings across each equity marketplace. Trend may add color to current valuation levels.


MSCI EM and MSCI EAFE valuations remain cheaper relative to the U.S. markets, though these indices have exhibited downward trends in earnings-per-share (EPS) estimates. MSCI EAFE has seen a gradual deterioration in earnings estimates while EM has seen a sharp falloff following the downward movement in commodity prices and recession in many countries. The U.S. has exhibited a steady upward trend in earnings until the recent turnover.

MSCI EM EPS ESTIMATE & PRICE



Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16

MSCI EAFE EPS ESTIMATE & PRICE



Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16

S&P 500 EPS ESTIMATE & PRICE



Source: Standard & Poor's, as of 3/31/16

Emerging market equity


Emerging markets sold off at the start of the year along with broader equity markets, but experienced a full recovery bringing returns positive year-to-date. The rally in the oil market was mirrored by many oil producing emerging market currencies, providing a boost for unhedged U.S. based investors. Brazil was one of the best performing equity markets, supported by further speculation that top government leadership might be replaced.

Equities in this space have faced significant headwinds.

Despite sharp drawdowns in recent years, emerging markets have delivered returns since December 2000 that outpaced international developed by a very large margin.


Further accommodative central bank policies and continued low yields in developed markets should help emerging market fund flows as higher yielding investment options remain limited. Furthermore, as borrowing rates tend to follow those of the developed market, an accommodative Fed provides a flow-through stimulus effect to these economies.

LONG TERM PERFORMANCE



Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16

ROLLING 3 YEAR RETURN



Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16

FORWARD P/E



Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16

Other assets


Other asset volatility

With the first Fed rate hike completed, weak commodity prices, and fear of a continued strength in the U.S. dollar versus other major currencies, the start of the first quarter saw increased financial volatility across major asset classes. Since the start of the year, global financial stress has calmed down and foreign exchange volatility has reverted. With dovish comments from the Fed and increased easing from the ECB, BOJ, and PBOC, most financial assets seem to be priced for a stable market environment going forward.

Oil volatility has retreated sharply since the start of the year as talks of potential production output remain a possibility in OPEC countries. Additionally, rig counts in the U.S. dropped, indicating there may be a slight reprieve of supply in the near term.


Fixed income implied volatility remains below average despite the anticipation of rate hikes and extended durations in a low yield environment. Even with market attention focused on rate movement, the expected short term risk of fixed income continues to be low.

FIXED INCOME VOLATILITY




Source: Merrill Lynch, as of 3/31/16 (see Appendix)

FX VOLATILITY



Source: JP Morgan, Russell Investments, as of 3/31/16

COMMODITY VOLATILITY



Source: Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

Real estate & REITs


Core real estate has now provided six consecutive years of steady returns between 10-14%. Correlations between GDP growth and real estate have historically been very high. A slow, but steady recovery in the job market, combined with low interest rates has created an environment conducive to positive results in the asset class. Lending standards have remained tighter than previous cycles keeping new supply from overheating the market.

Fundamentals remain strong as vacancy rates continue to decline overall. Industrial property vacancy rates have

shown the steepest declines as e-commerce has increased demand for infill warehouse space. Apartment vacancies ticked up slightly at the end of 2015 as new supply has been heavier in this sector. Net operating income growth remains positive overall, but has slowed in recent quarters with the exception of apartments, which continue to grow over 10%.


Cap rates have continued to steadily decline along with interest rates, although the spreads to U.S. Treasuries remain attractive.

REAL ESTATE & THE BUSINESS CYCLE




Source: NCREIF, as of 12/31/15

REAL ESTATE VACANCY BY TYPE



Source: NCREIF, as of 12/1/15

CAP RATE SPREADS



Source: NCREIF, as of 12/1/15

Commodities

After months of prolonged negative performance for commodities, Q1 provided a positive reprieve. Along with other risky assets, crude oil saw a rough start to the year, falling below \$30 in February. With stronger market sentiment and indications of production ceilings from major oil producers, the price has recovered to the low \$40's. The WTI forward curve has begun to flatten which could be positive for long investors seeking to reduce their losses on the roll yield.


U.S. oil and gas rig counts dropped to the lowest level on record back to 1949, reflecting the dramatic downturn in

activity from the U.S. energy sector. This reduction in supply was a tailwind for crude oil prices.

While oil continues to hover around all time low prices, Americans are approaching peak driving season and could bolster upward price movement.


Gold started 2016 just above \$1100 and has since rallied past \$1250. While the initial rally seemed to have been supported by uncertainty around Fed policy and instability across global financial markets, gold has remained range bound over the last month.

3 YR ROLLING RETURNS




Source: Standard & Poor's, Bloomberg, as of 3/31/16

COMMODITY CORRELATION (3YR ROLLING)



Source: MPI, as of 3/31/16 - correlation to Bloomberg Commodity

COMMODITY CUMULATIVE RETURNS



Source: S&P Dow Jones, as of 3/31/16

Private equity buyouts


With pricing rich in the buyouts space, harvesting volume is outpacing new capital deployment. This bodes well for LPs given higher levels of distributions than capital calls in a frothy investment environment. While exhibiting a continued downward trend in the number of exits, the aggregate value of Buyout exits continued to outpace new investments.

The first quarter of 2016 saw 874 private equity-backed buyout deals globally, worth a combined \$44 Billion. This represents a sharp decrease from the 962 deals in the

previous quarter, and is 57% lower than the \$101 Billion recorded across 904 deals in Q1 2015.


Private equity buyout-backed exits also contracted in Q1 2016, as 343 exits with a total value of \$62 Billion were announced. The number of exits is 19% lower than the 421 exits seen in Q4 2015, and 15% lower than the 402 exits in Q1 2015.

GLOBAL PRIVATE EQUITY-BACKED BUYOUT DEALS



Source: Preqin, as of 3/31/16

GLOBAL PRIVATE EQUITY-BACKED BUYOUT EXITS



Source: Preqin, as of 3/31/16

Venture capital

Venture Capital fund managers are not finding exit markets as receptive and have adjusted capital deployment to somewhat lower levels, with a focus on existing portfolio companies. With the funding environment generally more stringent for new startups and later stage companies that have yet to gain traction, we see signs that the venture space is going through a modest correction.


With aggregate exit values generally lagging in 2015, VC investment activity has turned more cautious, with a

focus on selectively funding existing portfolio companies.

Globally the first quarter saw 2,403 deals, worth a combined \$34 Billion. Both the quantity and value of new deals have fallen over the last two quarters.

The venture-backed exit market contracted in Q1, as 265 exits were announced globally worth a total of \$17 Billion. The number of exits was 15% lower than the 304 exits seen in Q4 2015, and 6% lower than the 282 exits in Q1 2015.


GLOBAL VENTURE CAPITAL INVESTMENTS*



Source: Preqin, as of 3/31/16

* Figures exclude add-ons, mergers, grants, venture debt & secondary stock purchases.

GLOBAL VENTURE CAPITAL EXITS



Source: Preqin, as of 3/31/16

Currency


The U.S. dollar depreciated against a broad basket of currencies in Q1, resulting in moderate to significant gains for investors with unhedged international equity exposure. Emerging market currencies rallied particularly hard in March as the U.S. dollar fell following a more accommodative sounding Fed and market risk-on sentiment.

The currency headwind faced by unhedged U.S. investors has reversed, bringing the effects of currency

back to neutral on a 1-year rolling basis.


Forecasting the future path of the U.S. dollar is an extremely difficult task. The dollar has appreciated greatly over the last few years, although this quarter some of that progression had been given back. The dollar is influenced by relative interest rates and is therefore influenced by central bank actions. Furthermore, trade and inflows/outflows of U.S. dollars around the globe has great impact on valuations.

LONG-TERM TRADE WEIGHTED USD




Source: FRED, as of 3/31/16

EFFECT OF CURRENCY (1YR ROLLING)



Source: MSCI, as of 3/31/16


CURRENCY MARKET BEHAVIOR




Source: Russell Investments, as of 3/31/16

Peak to trough

EQUITY DRAWDOWN AND RECOVERY



SPREAD EXPANSION AND COMPRESSION



Source: Bloomberg, as of 4/11/2016 Returns are for the period observed during from 1/1/2016 to 4/11/2016. Indices are stated in USD and returns are gross.

Appendix


Periodic table of returns – March 2016

	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	YTD	5-Year	10-Year	
BEST	Emerging Markets Equity	74.8	16.6	38.4	23.2	35.2	38.7	66.4	31.8	14.0	25.9	56.3	26.0	34.5	32.6	39.8	5.2	79.0	29.1	14.3	18.6	43.3	13.5	10.1	5.7	12.4	8.3
	US Bonds	32.9	8.1	37.8	23.1	32.9	27.0	43.1	22.8	8.4	10.3	48.5	22.2	21.4	26.9	16.2	1.4	37.2	26.9	7.8	18.1	38.8	13.2	5.7	3.0	11.9	7.6
	60/40 Global Portfolio	26.3	6.4	37.2	22.4	31.8	20.3	33.2	12.2	7.3	6.7	47.3	20.7	20.1	23.5	15.8	-6.5	34.5	24.5	2.6	17.9	34.5	13.0	0.9	2.6	11.4	7.1
	Real Estate	23.8	4.4	31.0	21.6	30.5	19.3	27.3	11.6	3.3	1.6	46.0	18.3	14.0	22.2	11.8	-21.4	32.5	19.2	1.5	17.5	33.5	11.8	0.6	2.2	10.2	6.0
	Small Cap Value	19.3	3.2	28.5	21.4	22.4	16.2	26.5	7.0	2.8	1.0	39.2	16.5	7.5	18.4	11.6	-25.9	28.4	16.8	0.4	16.4	33.1	6.0	0.0	1.7	7.7	5.7
	Large Cap Value	18.9	2.6	25.7	16.5	16.2	15.6	24.3	6.0	2.5	-5.9	30.0	14.5	7.1	16.6	10.9	-28.9	27.2	16.7	0.1	16.3	32.5	5.6	-0.4	1.6	7.2	5.3
	Large Cap Equity	18.1	0.4	19.6	14.4	13.9	8.7	21.3	4.1	-2.4	-6.0	29.9	14.3	6.3	15.5	10.3	-33.8	23.3	16.1	-2.1	15.3	23.3	4.9	-0.8	1.2	6.7	4.9
	Large Cap Growth	13.4	-1.5	18.5	11.3	12.9	4.9	20.9	-3.0	-5.6	-11.4	29.7	12.9	5.3	15.1	7.0	-35.6	20.6	15.5	-2.9	14.6	12.1	4.2	-1.4	0.7	4.0	4.5
	Commodities	10.2	-1.8	15.2	10.3	10.6	1.2	13.2	-7.3	-9.1	-15.5	25.2	11.4	4.7	13.3	7.0	-36.8	19.7	13.1	-4.2	11.5	11.0	3.4	-2.5	0.4	3.8	4.4
	Cash	9.7	-2.0	11.6	9.9	9.7	-2.5	11.4	-7.8	-9.2	-15.7	23.9	9.1	4.6	10.4	5.8	-37.6	18.9	10.2	-5.5	10.5	9.0	2.8	-3.8	0.1	2.3	3.0
	Small Cap Equity	3.1	-2.4	11.1	6.4	5.2	-5.1	7.3	-14.0	-12.4	-20.5	11.6	6.9	4.6	9.1	4.4	-38.4	11.5	8.2	-5.7	4.8	0.1	0.0	-4.4	-1.5	1.4	1.8
	Hedge Funds of Funds	2.9	-2.9	7.5	6.0	2.1	-6.5	4.8	-22.4	-19.5	-21.7	9.0	6.3	4.2	4.8	-0.2	-38.5	5.9	6.5	-11.7	4.2	-2.0	-1.8	-7.5	-2.5	0.1	1.5
	International Equity	1.4	-3.5	5.7	5.1	-3.4	-25.3	-0.8	-22.4	-20.4	-27.9	4.1	4.3	3.2	4.3	-1.6	-43.1	0.2	5.7	-13.3	0.1	-2.3	-4.5	-14.9	-3.0	-4.1	1.0
WORST	Small Cap Growth	-1.1	-7.3	-5.2	3.6	-11.6	-27.0	-1.5	-30.6	-21.2	-30.3	1.0	1.4	2.4	2.1	-9.8	-53.2	-16.9	0.1	-18.2	-1.1	-9.5	-17.0	-24.7	-4.7	-14.1	-6.2
	Large Cap Equity																										
	Large Cap Value																										
	Large Cap Growth																										
	Small Cap Equity																										
	Small Cap Value																										
	Small Cap Growth																										
	International Equity																										
	US Bonds																										
	Commodities																										
	Real Estate																										
	Hedge Funds of Funds																										
	60% MSCI ACWI/40% BC Global Bond																										

Source Data: Morningstar, Inc., Hedge Fund Research, Inc. (HFR), National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries (NCREIF). Indices used: Russell 1000, Russell 1000 Value, Russell 1000 Growth, Russell 2000, Russell 2000 Value, Russell 2000 Growth, MSCI EAFE, MSCI EM, BC Agg, T-Bill 90 Day, Bloomberg Commodity, NCREIF Property, HFRI FOF, MSCI ACWI, BC Global Bond.


Major asset class returns

ONE YEAR ENDING MARCH



Source: Morningstar, as of 3/31/16


TEN YEARS ENDING MARCH



Source: Morningstar, as of 3/31/16


S&P 500 and S&P 500 sector returns

QTD



Source: Morningstar, as of 3/31/16

ONE YEAR ENDING MARCH



Source: Morningstar, as of 3/31/16

Detailed index returns

DOMESTIC EQUITY

	Month	QTD	YTD	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year	10 Year
Core Index							
S&P 500	6.8	1.3	1.3	1.8	11.8	11.6	7.0
S&P 500 Equal Weighted	7.9	3.0	3.0	(1.0)	11.8	11.5	8.2
DJ Industrial Average	7.2	2.2	2.2	2.1	9.3	10.3	7.5
Russell Top 200	6.5	0.7	0.7	2.6	12.0	11.8	6.9
Russell 1000	7.0	1.2	1.2	0.5	11.5	11.4	7.1
Russell 2000	8.0	(1.5)	(1.5)	(9.8)	6.8	7.2	5.3
Russell 3000	7.0	1.0	1.0	(0.3)	11.1	11.0	6.9
Russell Mid Cap	8.2	2.2	2.2	(4.0)	10.4	10.3	7.4
Style Index							
Russell 1000 Growth	6.7	0.7	0.7	2.5	13.6	12.4	8.3
Russell 1000 Value	7.2	1.6	1.6	(1.5)	9.4	10.2	5.7
Russell 2000 Growth	7.7	(4.7)	(4.7)	(11.8)	7.9	7.7	6.0
Russell 2000 Value	8.3	1.7	1.7	(7.7)	5.7	6.7	4.4

INTERNATIONAL EQUITY

Broad Index							
MSCI EAFE	6.5	(3.0)	(3.0)	(8.3)	2.2	2.3	1.8
MSCI AC World ex US	8.1	(0.4)	(0.4)	(9.2)	0.3	0.3	1.9
MSCI EM	13.2	5.7	5.7	(12.0)	(4.5)	(4.1)	3.0
MSCI EAFE Small Cap	8.0	(0.6)	(0.6)	3.2	7.3	5.6	3.4
Style Index							
MSCI EAFE Growth	6.4	(2.1)	(2.1)	(3.7)	3.8	3.7	2.9
MSCI EAFE Value	6.6	(4.0)	(4.0)	(12.8)	0.6	0.8	0.6
Regional Index							
MSCI UK	4.8	(2.3)	(2.3)	(8.8)	0.2	2.2	2.0
MSCI Japan	4.7	(6.5)	(6.5)	(7.1)	3.8	4.0	(0.4)
MSCI Euro	7.4	(2.5)	(2.5)	(9.9)	4.0	0.6	0.8
MSCI EM Asia	11.4	1.9	1.9	(12.6)	(0.1)	(0.7)	5.0
MSCI EM Latin American	20.4	19.1	19.1	(9.2)	(14.8)	(11.5)	1.5

FIXED INCOME

	Month	QTD	YTD	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year	10 Year
Broad Index							
BC US Treasury US TIPS	1.8	4.5	4.5	1.5	(0.7)	3.0	4.6
BC US Treasury Bills	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.2
BC US Agg Bond	0.9	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	3.8	4.9
Duration							
BC US Treasury 1-3 Yr	0.2	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9	2.5
BC US Treasury Long	(0.0)	8.2	8.2	2.8	6.1	9.7	8.0
BC US Treasury	0.2	3.2	3.2	2.4	2.1	3.6	4.6
Issuer							
BC US MBS	0.3	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.7	3.2	4.8
BC US Corp. High Yield	4.4	3.4	3.4	(3.7)	1.8	4.9	7.0
BC US Agency Interm	0.2	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.3	1.9	3.7
BC US Credit	2.5	3.9	3.9	0.9	2.9	5.0	5.7

OTHER

Index							
Bloomberg Commodity	3.8	0.4	0.4	(19.6)	(16.9)	(14.1)	(6.2)
Wilshire US REIT	10.4	5.2	5.2	4.8	11.1	12.1	6.3
Regional Index							
JPM EMBI Global Div	3.3	5.0	5.0	4.2	3.4	6.2	7.2
JPM GBI-EM Global Div	9.1	11.0	11.0	(1.6)	(6.7)	(2.0)	5.0

Source: Morningstar, as of 3/31/16

Definitions

Bloomberg US Weekly Consumer Comfort Index - tracks the public's economic attitudes each week, providing a high-frequency read on consumer sentiment. The index, based on cell and landline telephone interviews with a random, representative national sample of U.S. adults, tracks Americans' ratings of the national economy, their personal finances and the buying climate on a weekly basis, with views of the economy's direction measured separately each month. (www.langerresearch.com)

University of Michigan Consumer Sentiment Index - A survey of consumer attitudes concerning both the present situation as well as expectations regarding economic conditions conducted by the University of Michigan. For the preliminary release approximately three hundred consumers are surveyed while five hundred are interviewed for the final figure. The level of consumer sentiment is related to the strength of consumer spending. (www.Bloomberg.com)

Citi Economic Surprise Index - objective and quantitative measures of economic news. Defined as weighted historical standard deviations of data surprises (actual releases vs Bloomberg survey median). A positive reading of the Economic Surprise Index suggests that economic releases have on balance been beating consensus. The indices are calculated daily in a rolling three-month window. The weights of economic indicators are derived from relative high-frequency spot FX impacts of 1 standard deviation data surprises. The indices also employ a time decay function to replicate the limited memory of markets. (www.Bloomberg.com)

Merrill Lynch Option Volatility Estimate (MOVE) Index – a yield curve weighted index comprised of a weighted set of 1-month Treasury options, including 2.5.10 and 30 year tenor contracts. This index is an indicator of the expected (implied) future volatility in the rate markets.

Notices & disclosures

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. This report or presentation is provided for informational purposes only and is directed to institutional clients and eligible institutional counterparties only and should not be relied upon by retail investors. Nothing herein constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice, or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold a security or pursue a particular investment vehicle or any trading strategy. The opinions and information expressed are current as of the date provided or cited only and are subject to change without notice. This information is obtained from sources deemed reliable, but there is no representation or warranty as to its accuracy, completeness or reliability. Verus Advisory Inc. and Verus Investors, LLC expressly disclaim any and all implied warranties or originality, accuracy, completeness, non-infringement, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. This report or presentation cannot be used by the recipient for advertising or sales promotion purposes.

The material may include estimates, outlooks, projections and other “forward-looking statements.” Such statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as “believes,” “expects,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “anticipates,” or the negative of any of the foregoing or comparable terminology, or by discussion of strategy, or assumptions such as economic conditions underlying other statements. No assurance can be given that future results described or implied by any forward looking information will be achieved. Actual events may differ significantly from those presented. Investing entails risks, including possible loss of principal. Risk controls and models do not promise any level of performance or guarantee against loss of principal.

“VERUS ADVISORY™ and VERUS INVESTORS™ and any associated designs are the respective trademarks of Verus Advisory, Inc. and Verus Investors, LLC. Additional information is available upon request.

Tulare County Employees' Retirement Association

Investment Performance Review

Period Ending: March 31, 2016



VERUSINVESTMENTS.COM

SEATTLE 206-622-3700


LOS ANGELES 310-297-1777

SAN FRANCISCO 415-362-3484

Total Fund Portfolio Reconciliation

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Sources of Portfolio Growth	Fiscal Year-To-Date
Beginning Market Value	\$1,201,411,659
Net Additions/Withdrawals	-\$19,287,695
Investment Earnings	-\$38,313,915
Ending Market Value	\$1,143,810,048




Contributions and withdrawals may include intra-account transfers between managers/funds.

Total Fund Executive Summary (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	15 Yrs	20 Yrs
Total Fund	0.7	-3.0	-2.5	4.8	5.3	4.2	5.8	7.4
Policy Index	1.6	-1.8	-1.5	4.3	5.1	5.0	6.1	--
InvestorForce Public DB Gross Rank	79	85	78	77	81	93	63	28
Total Fund x Clifton	0.7	-2.9	-2.4	4.8	5.3	--	--	--
Policy Index	1.6	-1.8	-1.5	4.3	5.1	--	--	--
InvestorForce Public DB Gross Rank	79	85	76	76	83	--	--	--
Total Domestic Equity	-0.3	-1.8	-1.4	11.6	11.3	7.1	7.3	--
Russell 3000	1.0	-0.5	-0.3	11.1	11.0	6.9	6.4	--
eA US Large Cap Core Equity Gross Rank	68	64	64	49	53	65	34	--
Total International Equity	-0.2	-9.8	-8.9	1.3	0.2	0.5	4.2	--
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross	-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	2.4	5.4	--
eA All EAFE Equity Gross Rank	24	83	88	94	97	99	95	--
Total Global Equity	6.4	-4.5	-5.6	--	--	--	--	--
MSCI ACWI Gross	0.4	-4.3	-3.8	--	--	--	--	--
eA Global All Cap Equity Gross Rank	5	52	74	--	--	--	--	--
Total Fixed Income	2.6	1.4	0.2	1.6	4.2	5.3	5.2	--
Barclays Aggregate	3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	3.8	4.9	5.0	--
eA US Core Fixed Inc Gross Rank	85	97	99	99	51	56	69	--
Total Real Estate	1.3	9.2	13.6	13.2	11.5	5.2	8.6	--
NCREIF Property Index	2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	8.9	--
NCREIF-ODCE	2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	7.9	--
Total Alternatives	-1.1	-10.2	-8.1	-3.3	-0.9	1.1	--	--
CPI + 5%	1.9	3.5	5.9	5.8	6.3	6.9	--	--
Total Opportunistic	-2.1	-2.2	0.8	12.7	17.1	--	--	--
Assumption Rate	1.9	5.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	--	--	--

Actual vs Target Allocation (%)



Annualized Excess Performance and Tracking Error
Total Fund vs. Policy Index




New Policy Index as of 11/1/2014: 23.5% Russell 3000, 23.5% MSCI ACWI ex US, 25% BC Agg., 3% MSCI ACWI, 5% BC US TIPS, 5% NCREIF Property, 5% Bloomberg Comm., 5% CPI +500 bps, 5% Russell 3000 +300 bps. All return periods greater than 1-year are rolling annualized returns.

Total Fund Executive Summary (Net of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	15 Yrs	20 Yrs
Total Fund	0.6	-3.3	-2.9	4.4	4.9	3.9	5.4	7.1
Policy Index	1.6	-1.8	-1.5	4.3	5.1	5.0	6.1	--
Total Fund x Clifton	0.6	-3.3	-2.9	4.4	4.9	--	--	--
Policy Index	1.6	-1.8	-1.5	4.3	5.1	--	--	--
Total Domestic Equity	-0.4	-2.0	-1.8	11.2	10.8	6.7	7.0	--
Russell 3000	1.0	-0.5	-0.3	11.1	11.0	6.9	6.4	--
Total International Equity	-0.2	-10.0	-9.2	1.0	-0.1	0.1	3.9	--
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross	-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	2.4	5.4	--
Total Global Equity	6.2	-5.1	-6.4	--	--	--	--	--
MSCI ACWI Gross	0.4	-4.3	-3.8	--	--	--	--	--
Total Fixed Income	2.6	1.2	0.0	1.3	3.9	5.1	5.0	--
Barclays Aggregate	3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	3.8	4.9	5.0	--
Total Real Estate	1.1	8.5	12.7	12.6	11.2	4.7	7.6	--
NCREIF Property Index	2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	8.9	--
NCREIF-ODCE	2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	7.9	--
Total Alternatives	-1.2	-10.7	-8.9	-4.1	-1.4	0.8	--	--
CPI + 5%	1.9	3.5	5.9	5.8	6.3	6.9	--	--
Total Opportunistic	-2.3	-3.1	-0.5	11.6	16.3	--	--	--
Assumption Rate	1.9	5.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	--	--	--

Actual vs Target Allocation (%)



Annualized Excess Performance and Tracking Error
Total Fund vs. Policy Index




New Policy Index as of 11/1/2014: 23.5% Russell 3000, 23.5% MSCI ACWI ex US, 25% BC Agg., 3% MSCI ACWI, 5% BC US TIPS, 5% NCREIF Property, 5% Bloomberg Comm., 5% CPI +500 bps, 5% Russell 3000 +300 bps. All return periods greater than 1-year are rolling annualized returns.

Total Fund Risk Analysis - 3 Years (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Anlzd Ret	Anlzd Std Dev	Anlzd Alpha	Beta	Tracking Error	R-Squared	Sharpe Ratio	Info Ratio	Up Mkt Cap Ratio	Down Mkt Cap Ratio
Total Fund	4.79%	6.16%	0.29%	1.04	1.12%	0.97	0.77	0.43	103.19%	91.44%

Risk vs. Return




Up Markets vs. Down Markets



Total Fund
Risk Analysis - 5 Years (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Anlzd Ret	Anlzd Std Dev	Anlzd Alpha	Beta	Tracking Error	R-Squared	Sharpe Ratio	Info Ratio	Up Mkt Cap Ratio	Down Mkt Cap Ratio
Total Fund	5.32%	8.54%	-0.34%	1.12	1.41%	0.98	0.62	0.19	109.56%	109.55%



- Total Fund
- ◆ Policy Index
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- InvestorForce Public DB Gross




- Total Fund
- ◆ Policy Index
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- InvestorForce Public DB Gross


Total Fund
Rolling Risk Statistics (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016


Rolling Information Ratio




Rolling Tracking Error



Rolling Up Market Capture Ratio (%)



Rolling Down Market Capture Ratio (%)



Total Fund Performance Summary (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Fund	1,143,810,048	100.0	0.7	-3.0	-2.5	4.8	5.3	4.2	-1.2	4.2	15.8	12.8	-0.8
Policy Index			1.6	-1.8	-1.5	4.3	5.1	5.0	-1.1	4.6	12.6	11.6	1.0
InvestorForce Public DB Gross Rank			79	85	78	77	81	93	80	83	49	43	86
Total Fund x Clifton	1,139,326,289	99.6	0.7	-2.9	-2.4	4.8	5.3	--	-1.1	4.1	15.8	12.4	-1.0
Policy Index			1.6	-1.8	-1.5	4.3	5.1	--	-1.1	4.6	12.6	11.6	1.0
InvestorForce Public DB Gross Rank			79	85	76	76	83	--	79	87	48	50	88
Total Domestic Equity	284,013,253	24.8	-0.3	-1.8	-1.4	11.6	11.3	7.1	1.6	12.4	35.9	16.8	0.8
Russell 3000			1.0	-0.5	-0.3	11.1	11.0	6.9	0.5	12.6	33.6	16.4	1.0
eA US Large Cap Core Equity Gross Rank			68	64	64	49	53	65	38	60	23	29	56
SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund	63,834,311	5.6	1.4	1.6	1.9	11.9	--	--	1.5	13.7	32.4	16.1	--
S&P 500			1.3	1.5	1.8	11.8	--	--	1.4	13.7	32.4	16.0	--
eA US Large Cap Core Equity Gross Rank			36	28	26	44	--	--	40	42	58	39	--
QMA Large Cap Core	63,410,255	5.5	1.5	1.9	1.7	13.1	12.8	--	2.1	15.6	34.3	18.1	2.4
S&P 500			1.3	1.5	1.8	11.8	11.6	--	1.4	13.7	32.4	16.0	2.1
eA US Large Cap Core Equity Gross Rank			33	24	27	18	15	--	31	20	37	18	34
Waddell & Reed	51,910,972	4.5	-3.1	-1.1	-0.7	14.1	12.4	--	7.6	12.8	37.3	13.0	3.6
Russell 1000 Growth			0.7	2.4	2.5	13.6	12.4	--	5.7	13.0	33.5	15.3	2.6
eA US Large Cap Growth Equity Gross Rank			70	49	51	26	28	--	26	40	25	78	17
Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value	54,152,920	4.7	-0.3	-4.7	-4.3	9.7	11.0	7.6	-3.9	11.8	37.0	21.5	1.2
Russell 1000 Value			1.6	-1.6	-1.5	9.4	10.2	5.7	-3.8	13.5	32.5	17.5	0.4
eA US Large Cap Value Equity Gross Rank			70	68	71	57	33	25	65	58	26	6	43
William Blair Mid Cap Growth	15,919,494	1.4	-2.4	-5.7	-4.0	12.5	11.0	9.1	6.1	9.8	43.1	13.8	0.9
Russell 2500 Growth			-2.7	-10.1	-9.6	9.2	8.8	7.0	-0.2	7.1	40.7	16.1	-1.6
eA US Mid Cap Growth Equity Gross Rank			59	33	29	11	16	16	7	33	11	68	28
Lee Munder Small Value	17,934,649	1.6	4.6	0.0	0.7	9.2	8.6	--	0.4	5.1	33.1	15.7	-6.9
Russell 2000 Value			1.7	-6.6	-7.7	5.7	6.7	--	-7.5	4.2	34.5	18.1	-5.5
eA US Small Cap Value Equity Gross Rank			19	5	6	35	56	--	9	60	85	61	79
SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index	16,850,652	1.5	-0.7	-8.4	-8.8	--	--	--	-3.5	7.4	--	--	--
Russell Small Cap Completeness			-0.7	-8.4	-8.8	--	--	--	-3.4	7.4	--	--	--
eA US Small Cap Core Equity Gross Rank			60	60	71	--	--	--	68	37	--	--	--

BlackRock Commodities liquidated 12/24/13. Gresham MTAP Commodities funded 12/31/13. Wellington Commodity funded 1/3/14. KBI Water Strategy funded 10/28/14. Research Affiliates converted to PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund on 6/5/15 (performance prior to this date represents previously held Enhanced RAFI Global ex US). The market value for TA Associates Realty is as of 12/31/2015 and reflective of all calls and distributions. RREEF (Barton Creek) is as of 12/31/2015. 1Q 2016 data for RREEF America II is preliminary. Vertas Transition Account used to liquidated PIMCO Core Plus on 11/15/15, remaining balance is residual cash. MacKay Shields and Doubleline funded 12/1/15. UBP liquidated 12/31/2015. Titan Advisors funded 2/1/2016.

Total Fund Performance Summary (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total International Equity	249,864,188	21.8	-0.2	-9.8	-8.9	1.3	0.2	0.5	-5.9	-4.8	18.5	15.7	-15.5
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross			-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	2.4	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3
eA All EAFE Equity Gross Rank			24	83	88	94	97	99	96	67	85	88	82
PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund	79,298,402	6.9	1.6	-11.5	-10.9	1.5	--	--	-10.9	-5.7	24.5	--	--
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross			-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	--	--	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	--	--
eA All EAFE Equity Gross Rank			9	94	94	91	--	--	99	79	51	--	--
SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund	83,295,157	7.3	-0.3	-9.5	-9.0	0.6	0.6	--	-5.5	-3.6	15.5	17.1	-13.5
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross			-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	--	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3
eA All EAFE Equity Gross Rank			26	81	88	97	96	--	96	49	90	81	64
Pyramis International Growth	87,270,628	7.6	-1.6	-8.3	-6.8	1.9	1.9	2.3	-1.5	-5.3	18.5	19.4	-13.0
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross			-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	2.4	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3
Tulare International Custom			-1.6	-9.1	-8.4	1.7	1.8	2.5	-2.6	-4.0	19.1	18.1	-12.6
eA All EAFE Equity Gross Rank			46	70	69	87	90	80	77	75	85	59	59
Total Global Equity	32,931,424	2.9	6.4	-4.5	-5.6	--	--	--	-11.5	--	--	--	--
MSCI ACWI Gross			0.4	-4.3	-3.8	--	--	--	-1.8	--	--	--	--
eA Global All Cap Equity Gross Rank			5	52	74	--	--	--	96	--	--	--	--
KBI Water Strategy	32,931,424	2.9	6.4	-4.5	-5.6	--	--	--	-11.5	--	--	--	--
MSCI ACWI Gross			0.4	-4.3	-3.8	--	--	--	-1.8	--	--	--	--
eA Global All Cap Equity Gross Rank			5	52	74	--	--	--	96	--	--	--	--
Total Fixed Income	334,957,790	29.3	2.6	1.4	0.2	1.6	4.2	5.3	-0.8	4.6	-0.9	8.4	9.1
Barclays Aggregate			3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	3.8	4.9	0.6	6.0	-2.0	4.2	7.8
eA US Core Fixed Inc Gross Rank			85	97	99	99	51	56	99	85	28	10	7
Total Domestic Fixed Income													
BlackRock Fixed Income	107,013,065	9.4	3.0	3.8	2.2	2.9	4.3	5.4	1.1	6.2	-1.5	5.5	8.1
Barclays Aggregate			3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	3.8	4.9	0.6	6.0	-2.0	4.2	7.8
eA All US Fixed Inc Gross Rank			30	23	27	31	43	40	39	29	75	56	28
Doubleline Core Plus	52,220,242	4.6	3.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Barclays Aggregate			3.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
eA US Core Plus Fixed Inc Gross Rank			32	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MacKay Shields Core Plus	51,766,524	4.5	2.9	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Barclays Aggregate			3.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
eA US Core Plus Fixed Inc Gross Rank			54	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

BlackRock Commodities liquidated 12/24/13. Gresham MTAP Commodities funded 12/31/13. Wellington Commodity funded 1/3/14. KBI Water Strategy funded 10/28/14. Research Affiliates converted to PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund on 6/5/15 (performance prior to this date represents previously held Enhanced RAFI Global ex US). The market value for TA Associates Realty is as of 12/31/2015 and reflective of all calls and distributions. RREEF (Barton Creek) is as of 12/31/2015. 1Q 2016 data for RREEF America II is preliminary. Vertas Transition Account used to liquidated PIMCO Core Plus on 11/15/15, remaining balance is residual cash. MacKay Shields and Doubleline funded 12/1/15. UBP liquidated 12/31/2015. Titan Advisors funded 2/1/2016.

Total Fund Performance Summary (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
PIMCO Core Plus	372,521	0.0	1.3	-0.2	-1.8	0.4	--	--	-1.9	5.2	-3.2	--	--
Barclays Aggregate			3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	--	--	0.6	6.0	-2.0	--	--
eA US Core Plus Fixed Inc Gross Rank			96	93	95	99	--	--	92	74	99	--	--
Shenkman High Yield	33,876,501	3.0	2.2	-3.7	-3.4	2.1	4.4	--	-2.4	2.5	6.3	12.3	6.1
BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield Master II TR			3.2	-3.9	-4.0	1.8	4.7	--	-4.6	2.5	7.4	15.6	4.4
eA US High Yield Fixed Inc Gross Rank			69	62	61	60	77	--	51	52	81	89	27
SSGA TIPS	30,572,687	2.7	4.4	2.6	1.5	-0.7	3.0	--	-1.4	3.6	-8.6	6.9	13.5
Barclays US TIPS			4.5	2.6	1.5	-0.7	3.0	--	-1.4	3.6	-8.6	7.0	13.6
eA TIPS / Infl Indexed Fixed Inc Gross Rank			32	33	52	61	48	--	63	44	77	67	51
Vertas Transition Account	9,773	0.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total Global Fixed Income													
Franklin Templeton Global Bond Plus	59,126,478	5.2	0.6	-3.1	-3.5	0.2	--	--	-3.5	2.4	3.0	--	--
JPM GBI Global TR Hedged USD			3.9	6.0	3.2	4.2	--	--	1.3	8.5	-0.4	--	--
eA Global Fixed Inc Hedged Gross Rank			88	85	95	76	--	--	67	55	37	--	--
Total Real Estate	88,771,591	7.8	1.3	9.2	13.6	13.2	11.5	5.2	16.0	13.1	11.5	7.8	8.2
NCREIF Property Index			2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
NCREIF-ODCE			2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
RREEF	16,969,000	1.5	0.0	10.3	11.9	10.4	10.0	10.4	13.0	8.0	12.3	4.5	14.2
NCREIF Property Index			2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
NCREIF-ODCE			2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
RREEF America II	54,518,859	4.8	2.1	10.1	14.3	14.9	13.9	6.4	16.7	13.0	15.5	12.5	14.1
NCREIF Property Index			2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
NCREIF-ODCE			2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
TA Associates Realty	17,283,732	1.5	0.0	6.0	12.8	11.5	8.8	--	16.7	15.1	5.2	2.2	7.3
NCREIF Property Index			2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	--	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
NCREIF-ODCE			2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	--	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0

BlackRock Commodities liquidated 12/24/13. Gresham MTAP Commodities funded 12/31/13. Wellington Commodity funded 1/3/14. KBI Water Strategy funded 10/28/14. Research Affiliates converted to PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund on 6/5/15 (performance prior to this date represents previously held Enhanced RAFI Global ex US). The market value for TA Associates Realty is as of 12/31/2015 and reflective of all calls and distributions. RREEF (Barton Creek) is as of 12/31/2015. 1Q 2016 data for RREEF America II is preliminary. Vertas Transition Account used to liquidated PIMCO Core Plus on 11/15/15, remaining balance is residual cash. MacKay Shields and Doubleline funded 12/1/15. UBP liquidated 12/31/2015. Titan Advisors funded 2/1/2016.

Total Fund Performance Summary (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Alternatives	127,174,536	11.1	-1.1	-10.2	-8.1	-3.3	-0.9	1.1	-8.1	-3.0	3.8	5.0	2.1
<i>CPI + 5%</i>			1.9	3.5	5.9	5.8	6.3	6.9	5.8	5.8	6.6	6.8	8.1
Gresham MTAP Commodity Builder	16,994,782	1.5	0.5	-24.0	-21.0	--	--	--	-25.4	-16.1	--	--	--
<i>Bloomberg Commodity Index TR USD</i>			0.4	-23.1	-19.6	--	--	--	-24.7	-17.0	--	--	--
<i>Commodities Broad Basket MStar MF Rank</i>			69	85	77	--	--	--	71	34	--	--	--
Wellington Commodity	17,778,390	1.6	3.0	-21.6	-19.7	--	--	--	-25.7	--	--	--	--
<i>Bloomberg Commodity Index TR USD</i>			0.4	-23.1	-19.6	--	--	--	-24.7	--	--	--	--
<i>Commodities Broad Basket MStar MF Rank</i>			6	40	63	--	--	--	73	--	--	--	--
Aetos Capital	28,021,424	2.4	-3.3	-3.8	-3.5	3.3	3.7	3.3	1.2	5.2	11.4	7.9	-2.5
<i>BofA ML 90 DAY T-BILLS + 400 bps</i>			0.8	2.4	3.1	3.5	3.7	5.0	3.1	3.5	4.1	4.1	4.1
Titan Advisors	28,340,857	2.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>BofA ML 90 DAY T-BILLS + 400 bps</i>			--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

BlackRock Commodities liquidated 12/24/13. Gresham MTAP Commodities funded 12/31/13. Wellington Commodity funded 1/3/14. KBI Water Strategy funded 10/28/14. Research Affiliates converted to PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund on 6/5/15 (performance prior to this date represents previously held Enhanced RAFI Global ex US). The market value for TA Associates Realty is as of 12/31/2015 and reflective of all calls and distributions. RREEF (Barton Creek) is as of 12/31/2015. 1Q 2016 data for RREEF America II is preliminary. Vertas Transition Account used to liquidated PIMCO Core Plus on 11/15/15, remaining balance is residual cash. MacKay Shields and Doubleline funded 12/1/15. UBP liquidated 12/31/2015. Titan Advisors funded 2/1/2016.

Total Fund Performance Summary (Net of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Fund	1,143,810,048	100.0	0.6	-3.3	-2.9	4.4	4.9	3.9	-1.6	3.8	15.4	12.4	-1.2
<i>Policy Index</i>			1.6	-1.8	-1.5	4.3	5.1	5.0	-1.1	4.6	12.6	11.6	1.0
Total Fund x Clifton	1,139,326,289	99.6	0.6	-3.3	-2.9	4.4	4.9	--	-1.5	3.7	15.5	12.0	-1.3
<i>Policy Index</i>			1.6	-1.8	-1.5	4.3	5.1	--	-1.1	4.6	12.6	11.6	1.0
Total Domestic Equity	284,013,253	24.8	-0.4	-2.0	-1.8	11.2	10.8	6.7	1.2	12.0	35.3	16.2	0.3
<i>Russell 3000</i>			1.0	-0.5	-0.3	11.1	11.0	6.9	0.5	12.6	33.6	16.4	1.0
SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund	63,834,311	5.6	1.4	1.6	1.9	11.8	--	--	1.4	13.7	32.4	16.0	--
<i>S&P 500</i>			1.3	1.5	1.8	11.8	--	--	1.4	13.7	32.4	16.0	--
QMA Large Cap Core	63,410,255	5.5	1.4	1.6	1.4	12.8	12.5	--	1.8	15.2	33.9	17.8	2.2
<i>S&P 500</i>			1.3	1.5	1.8	11.8	11.6	--	1.4	13.7	32.4	16.0	2.1
Waddell & Reed	51,910,972	4.5	-3.2	-1.5	-1.3	13.4	11.9	--	7.1	12.3	36.6	12.5	3.1
<i>Russell 1000 Growth</i>			0.7	2.4	2.5	13.6	12.4	--	5.7	13.0	33.5	15.3	2.6
Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value	54,152,920	4.7	-0.4	-5.0	-4.7	9.2	10.5	7.2	-4.4	11.4	36.4	21.0	0.7
<i>Russell 1000 Value</i>			1.6	-1.6	-1.5	9.4	10.2	5.7	-3.8	13.5	32.5	17.5	0.4
William Blair Mid Cap Growth	15,919,494	1.4	-2.6	-6.3	-4.9	11.5	10.1	8.2	5.2	8.8	42.0	12.8	0.1
<i>Russell 2500 Growth</i>			-2.7	-10.1	-9.6	9.2	8.8	7.0	-0.2	7.1	40.7	16.1	-1.6
Lee Munder Small Value	17,934,649	1.6	4.4	-0.7	-0.2	8.2	7.6	--	-0.5	4.1	31.8	14.6	-7.8
<i>Russell 2000 Value</i>			1.7	-6.6	-7.7	5.7	6.7	--	-7.5	4.2	34.5	18.1	-5.5
SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index	16,850,652	1.5	-0.7	-8.4	-8.9	--	--	--	-3.5	7.3	--	--	--
<i>Russell Small Cap Completeness</i>			-0.7	-8.4	-8.8	--	--	--	-3.4	7.4	--	--	--
Total International Equity	249,864,188	21.8	-0.2	-10.0	-9.2	1.0	-0.1	0.1	-6.2	-5.0	18.3	15.3	-15.9
<i>MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross</i>			-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	2.4	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3
PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund	79,298,402	6.9	1.5	-11.9	-11.4	1.1	--	--	-11.4	-5.9	23.7	--	--
<i>MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross</i>			-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	--	--	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	--	--
SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund	83,295,157	7.3	-0.3	-9.6	-9.1	0.5	0.5	--	-5.5	-3.7	15.5	17.1	-13.5
<i>MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross</i>			-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	--	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3
Pyramis International Growth	87,270,628	7.6	-1.7	-8.6	-7.2	1.5	1.4	1.8	-1.9	-5.7	17.9	18.6	-13.5
<i>MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross</i>			-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	2.4	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3
<i>Tulare International Custom</i>			-1.6	-9.1	-8.4	1.7	1.8	2.5	-2.6	-4.0	19.1	18.1	-12.6
Total Global Equity	32,931,424	2.9	6.2	-5.1	-6.4	--	--	--	-12.3	--	--	--	--
<i>MSCI ACWI Gross</i>			0.4	-4.3	-3.8	--	--	--	-1.8	--	--	--	--
KBI Water Strategy	32,931,424	2.9	6.2	-5.1	-6.4	--	--	--	-12.3	--	--	--	--
<i>MSCI ACWI Gross</i>			0.4	-4.3	-3.8	--	--	--	-1.8	--	--	--	--

BlackRock Commodities liquidated 12/24/13. Gresham MTAP Commodities funded 12/31/13. Wellington Commodity funded 1/3/14. KBI Water Strategy funded 10/28/14. Research Affiliates converted to PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund on 6/5/15 (performance prior to this date represents previously held Enhanced RAFI Global ex US). The market value for TA Associates Realty is as of 12/31/2015 and reflective of all calls and distributions. RREEF (Barton Creek) is as of 12/31/2015. 1Q 2016 data for RREEF America II is preliminary. Vertas Transition Account used to liquidated PIMCO Core Plus on 11/15/15, remaining balance is residual cash. MacKay Shields and Doubleline funded 12/1/15. UBP liquidated 12/31/2015. Titan Advisors funded 2/1/2016.

Total Fund Performance Summary (Net of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Fixed Income	334,957,790	29.3	2.6	1.2	0.0	1.3	3.9	5.1	-1.1	4.3	-1.2	8.0	8.8
Barclays Aggregate			3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	3.8	4.9	0.6	6.0	-2.0	4.2	7.8
Total Domestic Fixed Income													
BlackRock Fixed Income	107,013,065	9.4	3.0	3.6	1.9	2.6	4.0	5.2	0.8	6.0	-1.8	5.2	8.0
Barclays Aggregate			3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	3.8	4.9	0.6	6.0	-2.0	4.2	7.8
Doubleline Core Plus	52,220,242	4.6	3.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Barclays Aggregate			3.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MacKay Shields Core Plus	51,766,524	4.5	2.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Barclays Aggregate			3.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
PIMCO Core Plus	372,521	0.0	1.2	-0.4	-2.1	0.0	--	--	-2.2	4.9	-3.5	--	--
Barclays Aggregate			3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	--	--	0.6	6.0	-2.0	--	--
Shenkman High Yield	33,876,501	3.0	2.0	-4.0	-3.9	1.6	3.9	--	-2.9	2.0	5.8	11.7	5.4
BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield Master II TR			3.2	-3.9	-4.0	1.8	4.7	--	-4.6	2.5	7.4	15.6	4.4
SSGA TIPS	30,572,687	2.7	4.4	2.5	1.4	-0.8	3.0	--	-1.5	3.6	-8.6	6.9	13.5
Barclays US TIPS			4.5	2.6	1.5	-0.7	3.0	--	-1.4	3.6	-8.6	7.0	13.6
Vertas Transition Account	9,773	0.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total Global Fixed Income													
Franklin Templeton Global Bond Plus	59,126,478	5.2	0.4	-3.5	-4.1	-0.3	--	--	-4.1	1.9	2.5	--	--
JPM GBI Global TR Hedged USD			3.9	6.0	3.2	4.2	--	--	1.3	8.5	-0.4	--	--
Total Real Estate	88,771,591	7.8	1.1	8.5	12.7	12.6	11.2	4.7	15.1	12.5	11.5	7.8	8.2
NCREIF Property Index			2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
NCREIF-ODCE			2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
RREEF	16,969,000	1.5	0.0	9.1	10.7	9.5	8.9	9.5	11.7	6.6	12.1	3.8	10.5
NCREIF Property Index			2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
NCREIF-ODCE			2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
RREEF America II	54,518,859	4.8	1.8	9.4	13.3	14.0	13.2	5.7	15.7	12.0	15.0	11.6	14.3
NCREIF Property Index			2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
NCREIF-ODCE			2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
TA Associates Realty	17,283,732	1.5	0.0	5.4	11.8	10.9	8.0	--	15.4	14.6	5.1	2.1	4.4
NCREIF Property Index			2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	--	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
NCREIF-ODCE			2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	--	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0

BlackRock Commodities liquidated 12/24/13. Gresham MTAP Commodities funded 12/31/13. Wellington Commodity funded 1/3/14. KBI Water Strategy funded 10/28/14. Research Affiliates converted to PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund on 6/5/15 (performance prior to this date represents previously held Enhanced RAFI Global ex US). The market value for TA Associates Realty is as of 12/31/2015 and reflective of all calls and distributions. RREEF (Barton Creek) is as of 12/31/2015. 1Q 2016 data for RREEF America II is preliminary. Vertas Transition Account used to liquidated PIMCO Core Plus on 11/15/15, remaining balance is residual cash. MacKay Shields and Doubleline funded 12/1/15. UBP liquidated 12/31/2015. Titan Advisors funded 2/1/2016.

Total Fund Performance Summary (Net of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Alternatives	127,174,536	11.1	-1.2	-10.7	-8.9	-4.1	-1.4	0.8	-8.9	-3.9	3.5	4.7	1.7
<i>CPI + 5%</i>			1.9	3.5	5.9	5.8	6.3	6.9	5.8	5.8	6.6	6.8	8.1
Gresham MTAP Commodity Builder	16,994,782	1.5	0.3	-24.4	-21.6	--	--	--	-25.9	-16.8	--	--	--
<i>Bloomberg Commodity Index TR USD</i>			0.4	-23.1	-19.6	--	--	--	-24.7	-17.0	--	--	--
Wellington Commodity	17,778,390	1.6	2.9	-22.1	-20.3	--	--	--	-26.3	--	--	--	--
<i>Bloomberg Commodity Index TR USD</i>			0.4	-23.1	-19.6	--	--	--	-24.7	--	--	--	--
Aetos Capital	28,021,424	2.4	-3.5	-4.4	-4.2	2.5	3.0	3.0	0.5	4.5	10.4	7.2	-2.0
<i>BofA ML 90 DAY T-BILLS + 400 bps</i>			0.8	2.4	3.1	3.5	3.7	5.0	3.1	3.5	4.1	4.1	4.1
Titan Advisors	28,340,857	2.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>BofA ML 90 DAY T-BILLS + 400 bps</i>			--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

BlackRock Commodities liquidated 12/24/13. Gresham MTAP Commodities funded 12/31/13. Wellington Commodity funded 1/3/14. KBI Water Strategy funded 10/28/14. Research Affiliates converted to PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund on 6/5/15 (performance prior to this date represents previously held Enhanced RAFI Global ex US). The market value for TA Associates Realty is as of 12/31/2015 and reflective of all calls and distributions. RREEF (Barton Creek) is as of 12/31/2015. 1Q 2016 data for RREEF America II is preliminary. Vertas Transition Account used to liquidated PIMCO Core Plus on 11/15/15, remaining balance is residual cash. MacKay Shields and Doubleline funded 12/1/15. UBP liquidated 12/31/2015. Titan Advisors funded 2/1/2016.

Total Fund
Performance Analysis - 5 Years (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Anlzd Ret	Anlzd Std Dev	Anlzd Alpha	Beta	Tracking Error	R-Squared	Sharpe Ratio	Info Ratio	Up Mkt Cap Ratio	Down Mkt Cap Ratio
QMA Large Cap Core	12.80%	13.33%	0.72%	1.04	1.12%	0.99	0.96	1.09	111.03%	101.50%
Waddell & Reed	12.45%	13.97%	-0.80%	1.07	2.91%	0.96	0.89	0.02	103.31%	105.45%
Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value	10.96%	14.98%	0.04%	1.07	2.96%	0.96	0.73	0.24	108.36%	103.48%
William Blair Mid Cap Growth	11.03%	15.93%	3.15%	0.90	3.40%	0.97	0.69	0.67	102.02%	84.63%
Lee Munder Small Value	8.60%	17.37%	2.03%	0.99	3.40%	0.96	0.49	0.57	110.83%	95.39%
SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund	0.56%	14.95%	-0.21%	1.00	0.09%	1.00	0.03	-2.36	98.63%	100.56%
Pyramis International Growth	1.93%	14.51%	1.20%	0.96	2.15%	0.98	0.13	0.54	102.13%	93.29%
BlackRock Fixed Income	4.27%	2.89%	0.70%	0.94	0.53%	0.97	1.46	0.93	108.85%	89.68%
Shenkman High Yield	4.44%	5.72%	0.40%	0.86	1.36%	0.97	0.77	-0.20	85.56%	81.10%
SSGA TIPS	3.01%	5.54%	-0.01%	1.00	0.03%	1.00	0.53	-0.57	99.75%	100.05%
RREEF	9.99%	5.51%	-7.38%	1.46	5.39%	0.05	1.80	-0.36	80.58%	--
RREEF America II	13.90%	1.85%	5.14%	0.73	1.76%	0.11	7.49	1.12	121.20%	--
TA Associates Realty	8.82%	3.39%	-13.21%	1.85	3.11%	0.20	2.58	-1.00	69.49%	--
Aetos Capital	3.67%	4.26%	-15.40%	5.11	4.20%	0.08	0.85	-0.02	98.17%	--

IRR Analysis as of IRR date												
Vintage Year	Manager Name/Fund Name	Estimated Market Value as of 3/31/2016 ³	Total Commitment	Capital Called	% Called	Remaining Commitment	Capital Returned	Market Value as of IRR date	Distrib./ Paid-In (DPI) ¹	Tot. Value/ Paid-In (TVPI) ²	Net IRR Since Inception ⁶	IRR Date
Private Equity												
2005	BlackRock Private Capital II ⁷	\$9,642,301	\$15,000,000	\$15,000,000	100%	\$0	\$13,143,386	\$10,690,871	87.6%	151.9%	7.3%	09/30/15
2004	Pantheon USA Fund VI	\$7,514,827	\$15,000,000	\$14,175,000	95%	\$825,000	\$13,919,999	\$7,949,827	98.2%	151.2%	7.4%	12/31/15
2011	PIMCO Bravo	\$3,567,844	\$15,000,000	\$15,000,000	100%	\$0	\$23,363,633	\$4,490,393	155.8%	179.5%	22.9%	12/31/15
2010	KKR Mezzanine Partners	\$7,684,091	\$15,000,000	\$12,806,126	85%	\$2,193,874	\$10,853,952	\$10,561,143	84.8%	144.8%	9.4%	03/31/15
2011	Stepstone Secondary Opportunities Fund II ⁸	\$18,881,955	\$27,500,000	\$20,146,107	73%	\$7,353,893	\$7,224,458	\$19,523,323	35.9%	129.6%	21.3%	09/30/15
Private Equity - Real Estate												
2007	TA Associates VIII	\$17,283,732	\$30,000,000	\$30,000,000	100%	\$0	\$11,191,504	\$20,860,512	37.3%	94.9%	-1.6%	06/30/15
Total Private Equity		\$64,574,750	\$117,500,000	\$107,127,233	91%	\$10,372,767	\$79,696,932	\$74,076,069	74.4%	134.7%		
% of Portfolio (Market Value)		5.6%										

¹(DPI) is equal to (capital returned / capital called)

²(TVPI) is equal to (market value + capital returned) / capital called

³Last known market value + capital calls - distributions (StepStone as of 9/30/15)

⁴IRR currently unavailable for these funds.

⁵Investment period ended, no further capital to be called.

⁶Net IRR is calculated on the cash flows of all the limited partners of the fund and is net of all fees. Each IRR figure is provided by its respective manager.


⁷BlackRock: Total capital called is \$15,288,632 which includes recycled distributions.

⁸StepStone: \$4,229,596 in recallable distributions


Total Fund Asset Allocation History

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Market Value History




Asset Allocation History



Net Cash flow history prior to 4Q 2010 is not available due to lack of data from previous consultant.

Total Fund Asset Allocation vs. Policy

Period Ending: March 31, 2016



Investment Fund Fee Analysis

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Account	Fee Schedule	Market Value As of 3/31/2016	% of Portfolio	Estimated Annual Fee (\$)	Estimated Annual Fee (%)
Aetos Capital	0.75% of Assets	\$28,021,424	2.4%	\$210,161	0.75%
BlackRock Alternative Advisors	\$120,000 Annually	\$9,642,301	0.8%	\$120,000	1.24%
BlackRock Fixed Income	0.25% of First \$100.0 Mil, 0.25% of Next \$100.0 Mil	\$107,013,065	9.4%	\$267,533	0.25%
Doubleline Core Plus	0.28% of First \$100.0 Mil, 0.25% Thereafter	\$52,220,242	4.6%	\$146,217	0.28%
Franklin Templeton Global Bond Plus	0.62% of First \$50.0 Mil, 0.51% of Next \$50.0 Mil, 0.45% Thereafter	\$59,126,478	5.2%	\$356,545	0.60%
Gresham MTAP Commodity Builder	0.75% of Assets	\$16,994,782	1.5%	\$127,461	0.75%
KBI Water Strategy	0.85% of Assets	\$32,931,424	2.9%	\$279,917	0.85%
Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co. Mezzanine Partners I	0.38% of Assets	\$7,684,091	0.7%	\$28,815	0.38%
Lee Munder Small Value	0.90% of First \$25.0 Mil, 0.85% of Next \$75.0 Mil, 0.30% Thereafter	\$17,934,649	1.6%	\$161,412	0.90%
MacKay Shields Core Plus	0.40% of Assets	\$51,766,524	4.5%	\$207,066	0.40%
Mellon Capital Cash Account	No Fee	\$10,361,572	0.9%	--	--
Pantheon Ventures	No Fee	\$7,514,827	0.7%	--	--
PIMCO Bravo	1.60% of Assets	\$3,567,844	0.3%	\$228,342	6.40%
PIMCO Core Plus	0.50% of First \$25.0 Mil, 0.38% of Next \$25.0 Mil, 0.25% Thereafter	\$372,521	0.0%	\$1,863	0.50%
PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund	0.78% of First \$25.0 Mil, 0.43% of Next \$75.0 Mil, 0.38% Thereafter	\$79,298,402	6.9%	\$428,483	0.54%
Pyramis International Growth	0.70% of First \$25.0 Mil, 0.50% of Next \$25.0 Mil, 0.30% Thereafter	\$87,270,628	7.6%	\$411,812	0.47%
QMA Large Cap Core	0.35% of First \$50.0 Mil, 0.30% of Next \$50.0 Mil, 0.25% Thereafter	\$63,410,255	5.5%	\$215,231	0.34%
Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value	0.45% of First \$50.0 Mil, 0.35% of Next \$50.0 Mil, 0.30% Thereafter	\$54,152,920	4.7%	\$239,535	0.44%

Investment Fund Fee Analysis


Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Account	Fee Schedule	Market Value As of 3/31/2016	% of Portfolio	Estimated Annual Fee (\$)	Estimated Annual Fee (%)
RREEF	Management Fee: 7% of Net Operating Income Incentive Fee: 15% of excess returns over a 6% hurdle rate	\$16,969,000	1.5%	--	--
RREEF America II	0.95% of Assets	\$54,518,859	4.8%	\$517,929	0.95%
Shenkman High Yield	0.50% of Assets	\$33,876,501	3.0%	\$169,383	0.50%
SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund	0.08% of First \$25.0 Mil, 0.07% of Next \$25.0 Mil, 0.06% Thereafter	\$83,295,157	7.3%	\$57,477	0.07%
SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index	0.05% of First \$25.0 Mil, 0.05% of Next \$25.0 Mil, 0.04% Thereafter	\$16,850,652	1.5%	\$8,425	0.05%
SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund	0.03% of Assets	\$63,834,311	5.6%	\$19,150	0.03%
SSGA TIPS	0.06% of First \$50.0 Mil, 0.05% of Next \$50.0 Mil, 0.04% Thereafter	\$30,572,687	2.7%	\$18,344	0.06%
Stepstone Secondary Opportunities Fund II	\$343,750 Annually	\$18,881,955	1.7%	\$343,750	1.82%
TA Associates Realty	0.60% of Assets	\$17,283,732	1.5%	\$103,702	0.60%
The Clifton Group	Asset Based Fee: 0.0375% (Quarterly) Retainer Fee: \$4,500 (Quarterly) Minimum Expense: \$50,000 (Annual)	\$4,483,759	0.4%	--	--
Titan Advisors	.75% on AUM, 10% on performance, 5% hurdle rate.	\$28,340,857	2.5%	--	--
Vertas Transition Account	No Fee	\$9,773	0.0%	--	--
Waddell & Reed	0.60% of First \$25.0 Mil, 0.50% of Next \$25.0 Mil, 0.40% Thereafter	\$51,910,972	4.5%	\$282,644	0.54%
Wellington Commodity	0.75% of Assets	\$17,778,390	1.6%	\$133,338	0.75%
William Blair Mid Cap Growth	0.95% of First \$10.0 Mil, 0.80% of Next \$20.0 Mil, 0.75% of Next \$20.0 Mil, 0.70% of Next \$50.0 Mil, 0.65% of Next \$100.0 Mil, 0.60% Thereafter	\$15,919,494	1.4%	\$142,356	0.89%
Investment Management Fee		\$1,143,810,048	100.0%	\$5,226,890	0.46%

Total Fund

Peer Universe Comparison: Cumulative Performance (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)											
5th Percentile	2.3		1.1		0.9		7.5		7.8		12.4	
25th Percentile	1.6		-0.2		0.0		6.7		7.0		11.4	
Median	1.2		-1.2		-1.0		5.9		6.3		10.5	
75th Percentile	0.8		-2.3		-2.3		4.8		5.6		9.3	
95th Percentile	-0.3		-4.1		-4.0		3.1		4.2		7.7	
# of Portfolios	323		321		321		284		255		229	
● Total Fund	0.7	(79)	-3.0	(85)	-2.5	(78)	4.8	(77)	5.3	(81)	9.8	(67)
▲ Policy Index	1.6	(30)	-1.8	(66)	-1.5	(61)	4.3	(84)	5.1	(86)	10.2	(59)

Total Fund

Peer Universe Comparison: Consecutive Periods (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	2.2	8.0	20.8	14.6	3.6	15.4	27.0	-10.1	11.0	15.9
25th Percentile	0.9	6.8	18.0	13.4	1.9	14.0	22.4	-20.1	9.1	14.2
Median	0.1	5.8	15.5	12.4	0.9	12.9	20.2	-24.9	7.9	13.2
75th Percentile	-0.9	4.6	13.3	10.7	-0.3	11.7	15.9	-27.6	6.9	11.2
95th Percentile	-2.6	3.2	8.5	7.8	-2.5	8.6	10.5	-30.3	5.4	8.3
# of Portfolios	316	248	231	236	206	188	184	181	177	171
● Total Fund	-1.2 (80)	4.2 (83)	15.8 (49)	12.8 (43)	-0.8 (86)	12.6 (57)	17.8 (67)	-27.7 (77)	6.6 (81)	16.2 (3)
▲ Policy Index	-1.1 (80)	4.6 (75)	12.6 (80)	11.6 (67)	1.0 (46)	14.7 (13)	19.6 (53)	-24.5 (46)	7.9 (51)	14.8 (19)


Total Fund
Rolling Return Analysis (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Rolling 3 Year Annualized Excess Performance



Rolling 5 Year Annualized Excess Performance




Total Domestic Equity Asset Class Overview (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Domestic Equity	284,013,253	-0.3	-1.8	-1.4	11.6	11.3	7.1	1.6	12.4	35.9	16.8	0.8
<i>Russell 3000</i>		1.0	-0.5	-0.3	11.1	11.0	6.9	0.5	12.6	33.6	16.4	1.0
<i>eA US Large Cap Core Equity Gross Rank</i>		68	64	64	49	53	65	38	60	23	29	56
SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund	63,834,311	1.4	1.6	1.9	11.9	--	--	1.5	13.7	32.4	16.1	--
<i>S&P 500</i>		1.3	1.5	1.8	11.8	--	--	1.4	13.7	32.4	16.0	--
<i>eA US Large Cap Core Equity Gross Rank</i>		36	28	26	44	--	--	40	42	58	39	--
QMA Large Cap Core	63,410,255	1.5	1.9	1.7	13.1	12.8	--	2.1	15.6	34.3	18.1	2.4
<i>S&P 500</i>		1.3	1.5	1.8	11.8	11.6	--	1.4	13.7	32.4	16.0	2.1
<i>eA US Large Cap Core Equity Gross Rank</i>		33	24	27	18	15	--	31	20	37	18	34
Waddell & Reed	51,910,972	-3.1	-1.1	-0.7	14.1	12.4	--	7.6	12.8	37.3	13.0	3.6
<i>Russell 1000 Growth</i>		0.7	2.4	2.5	13.6	12.4	--	5.7	13.0	33.5	15.3	2.6
<i>eA US Large Cap Growth Equity Gross Rank</i>		70	49	51	26	28	--	26	40	25	78	17

U.S. Effective Style Map
3 Years



U.S. Effective Style Map
5 Years




Total Domestic Equity Asset Class Overview (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value	54,152,920	-0.3	-4.7	-4.3	9.7	11.0	7.6	-3.9	11.8	37.0	21.5	1.2
Russell 1000 Value		1.6	-1.6	-1.5	9.4	10.2	5.7	-3.8	13.5	32.5	17.5	0.4
eA US Large Cap Value Equity Gross Rank		70	68	71	57	33	25	65	58	26	6	43
William Blair Mid Cap Growth	15,919,494	-2.4	-5.7	-4.0	12.5	11.0	9.1	6.1	9.8	43.1	13.8	0.9
Russell 2500 Growth		-2.7	-10.1	-9.6	9.2	8.8	7.0	-0.2	7.1	40.7	16.1	-1.6
eA US Mid Cap Growth Equity Gross Rank		59	33	29	11	16	16	7	33	11	68	28
Lee Munder Small Value	17,934,649	4.6	0.0	0.7	9.2	8.6	--	0.4	5.1	33.1	15.7	-6.9
Russell 2000 Value		1.7	-6.6	-7.7	5.7	6.7	--	-7.5	4.2	34.5	18.1	-5.5
eA US Small Cap Value Equity Gross Rank		19	5	6	35	56	--	9	60	85	61	79
SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index	16,850,652	-0.7	-8.4	-8.8	--	--	--	-3.5	7.4	--	--	--
Russell Small Cap Completeness		-0.7	-8.4	-8.8	--	--	--	-3.4	7.4	--	--	--
eA US Small Cap Core Equity Gross Rank		60	60	71	--	--	--	68	37	--	--	--

U.S. Effective Style Map
3 Years



U.S. Effective Style Map
5 Years



Total Domestic Equity
Asset Class Overview (Net of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Domestic Equity	284,013,253	-0.4	-2.0	-1.8	11.2	10.8	6.7	1.2	12.0	35.3	16.2	0.3
<i>Russell 3000</i>		1.0	-0.5	-0.3	11.1	11.0	6.9	0.5	12.6	33.6	16.4	1.0
SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund	63,834,311	1.4	1.6	1.9	11.8	--	--	1.4	13.7	32.4	16.0	--
<i>S&P 500</i>		1.3	1.5	1.8	11.8	--	--	1.4	13.7	32.4	16.0	--
QMA Large Cap Core	63,410,255	1.4	1.6	1.4	12.8	12.5	--	1.8	15.2	33.9	17.8	2.2
<i>S&P 500</i>		1.3	1.5	1.8	11.8	11.6	--	1.4	13.7	32.4	16.0	2.1
Waddell & Reed	51,910,972	-3.2	-1.5	-1.3	13.4	11.9	--	7.1	12.3	36.6	12.5	3.1
<i>Russell 1000 Growth</i>		0.7	2.4	2.5	13.6	12.4	--	5.7	13.0	33.5	15.3	2.6
Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value	54,152,920	-0.4	-5.0	-4.7	9.2	10.5	7.2	-4.4	11.4	36.4	21.0	0.7
<i>Russell 1000 Value</i>		1.6	-1.6	-1.5	9.4	10.2	5.7	-3.8	13.5	32.5	17.5	0.4
William Blair Mid Cap Growth	15,919,494	-2.6	-6.3	-4.9	11.5	10.1	8.2	5.2	8.8	42.0	12.8	0.1
<i>Russell 2500 Growth</i>		-2.7	-10.1	-9.6	9.2	8.8	7.0	-0.2	7.1	40.7	16.1	-1.6
Lee Munder Small Value	17,934,649	4.4	-0.7	-0.2	8.2	7.6	--	-0.5	4.1	31.8	14.6	-7.8
<i>Russell 2000 Value</i>		1.7	-6.6	-7.7	5.7	6.7	--	-7.5	4.2	34.5	18.1	-5.5
SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index	16,850,652	-0.7	-8.4	-8.9	--	--	--	-3.5	7.3	--	--	--
<i>Russell Small Cap Completeness</i>		-0.7	-8.4	-8.8	--	--	--	-3.4	7.4	--	--	--

Total Domestic Equity
Common Holdings Matrix

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund		QMA Large Cap Core		Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value		Waddell & Reed		William Blair Mid Cap Growth		Lee Munder Small Value		SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund	--	--	130	90.2	40	87.4	68	87.5	9	12.0	2	1.8	2	0.0
QMA Large Cap Core	130	58.2	--	--	20	55.2	39	57.8	1	1.1	4	2.9	60	5.1
Waddell & Reed	40	24.9	20	23.5	--	--	9	11.6	1	2.0	0	0.0	3	1.2
Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value	68	28.8	39	36.6	9	21.7	--	--	2	4.0	1	0.8	11	1.4
William Blair Mid Cap Growth	9	0.5	1	0.3	1	1.3	2	1.3	--	--	6	6.1	53	6.1
Lee Munder Small Value	2	0.0	4	0.5	0	0.0	1	0.7	6	6.2	--	--	96	5.9
SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index	2	0.1	60	9.2	3	4.1	11	6.7	53	82.0	96	92.2	--	--

Total Domestic Equity
Correlation Matrix

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Correlation Matrix
January 1, 2016 Through March 31, 2016

	SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund	QMA Large Cap Core	Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value	Waddell & Reed	William Blair Mid Cap Growth	SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index	Lee Munder Small Value	S&P 500
SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund	1.00	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
QMA Large Cap Core	1.00	1.00	--	--	--	--	--	--
Waddell & Reed	0.99	0.99	1.00	--	--	--	--	--
Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value	0.99	0.99	0.97	1.00	--	--	--	--
William Blair Mid Cap Growth	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	--	--	--
Lee Munder Small Value	1.00	1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	1.00	--	--
SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index	0.99	0.99	0.97	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	--
S&P 500	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00

SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund


Manager Portfolio Overview

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Characteristics

	Portfolio	S&P 500
Number of Holdings	505	504
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	129.10	128.86
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	18.30	18.28
Price To Earnings	24.18	22.73
Price To Book	4.93	4.24
Price To Sales	3.50	2.98
Return on Equity (%)	18.81	17.79
Yield (%)	2.17	2.16
Beta	1.00	1.00

Sector Allocation (%) vs S&P 500



*Unclassified includes Cash

Top Holdings Ending Period Weight

APPLE	3.36%	VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS
MICROSOFT	2.43%	AT&T
EXXON MOBIL	1.93%	EXXON MOBIL
JOHNSON & JOHNSON	1.66%	APPLE
GENERAL ELECTRIC	1.65%	FACEBOOK CLASS A
BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY 'B'	1.48%	BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY 'B'
FACEBOOK CLASS A	1.46%	PHILIP MORRIS INTL.
AT&T	1.34%	JOHNSON & JOHNSON
AMAZON.COM	1.28%	COCA COLA
WELLS FARGO & CO	1.24%	ORACLE
Total	17.83%	

Top Contributors

Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
1.05	18.46	0.19
1.18	15.44	0.18
1.81	8.21	0.15
3.28	4.10	0.13
1.33	9.02	0.12
1.38	7.45	0.10
0.76	12.78	0.10
1.59	6.09	0.10
0.94	8.82	0.08
0.65	12.46	0.08

Bottom Contributors

Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
0.98	-19.37	-0.19
1.45	-12.17	-0.18
0.86	-19.22	-0.17
1.41	-10.34	-0.15
1.36	-9.69	-0.13
0.69	-14.23	-0.10
0.53	-16.42	-0.09
1.11	-7.25	-0.08
0.24	-33.60	-0.08
0.81	-8.79	-0.07

SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund
Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)													
	4.8	6.7	5.7	14.7	14.3	19.1	9.5							
5th Percentile	2.0	1.8	1.9	12.7	12.3	17.5	8.1							
25th Percentile	0.5	-0.7	-0.3	11.5	11.3	16.6	7.4							
Median	-0.7	-3.0	-2.5	10.6	10.0	15.5	6.8							
75th Percentile	-2.7	-6.4	-6.5	7.8	8.2	13.9	6.0							
95th Percentile	248	248	248	244	231	212	189							
# of Portfolios														
● SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund	1.4 (36)	1.6 (28)	1.9 (26)	11.9 (44)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)							
▲ S&P 500	1.3 (36)	1.5 (29)	1.8 (26)	11.8 (45)	11.6 (46)	17.0 (38)	7.0 (67)							

SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund
Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	6.3	17.7	39.6	20.1	7.0	19.7	39.1	-26.1	16.3	19.9
25th Percentile	2.7	15.1	35.5	17.2	3.6	15.8	31.2	-32.3	11.1	16.9
Median	0.6	13.3	32.9	15.4	1.3	14.4	26.3	-35.4	7.7	14.8
75th Percentile	-1.6	11.4	30.8	13.4	-1.5	12.3	22.6	-38.1	4.9	12.4
95th Percentile	-4.1	8.2	25.4	9.8	-5.9	9.1	16.1	-42.3	0.7	8.5
# of Portfolios	267	267	261	254	259	254	280	312	320	327
● SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund	1.5 (40)	13.7 (42)	32.4 (58)	16.1 (39)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)
▲ S&P 500	1.4 (42)	13.7 (42)	32.4 (58)	16.0 (41)	2.1 (40)	15.1 (37)	26.5 (48)	-37.0 (62)	5.5 (71)	15.8 (37)


QMA Large Cap Core Manager Portfolio Overview

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Characteristics

	Portfolio	S&P 500
Number of Holdings	192	504
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	129.01	128.86
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	21.96	18.28
Price To Earnings	21.37	22.73
Price To Book	4.49	4.24
Price To Sales	2.73	2.98
Return on Equity (%)	20.13	17.79
Yield (%)	2.31	2.16
Beta	1.01	1.00

Sector Allocation (%) vs S&P 500



*Unclassified includes Cash

Top Holdings Ending Period Weight

	Weight	Company
APPLE	3.88%	VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS
MICROSOFT	3.05%	AT&T
VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS	1.76%	EXELON
AT&T	1.75%	APPLE
ALPHABET 'C'	1.74%	PUB.SER.ENTER.GP.
PROCTER & GAMBLE	1.72%	MICHAEL KORS HOLDINGS
JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.	1.69%	WAL MART STORES
FACEBOOK CLASS A	1.59%	TYSON FOODS 'A'
JOHNSON & JOHNSON	1.50%	FREEPORT-MCMORAN
INTEL	1.50%	COMCAST 'A'
Total	20.17%	

Top Contributors


Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
1.59	18.46	0.29
1.65	15.44	0.26
0.70	30.42	0.21
3.62	4.10	0.15
0.64	22.95	0.15
0.33	42.19	0.14
1.08	12.56	0.14
0.50	25.28	0.13
0.21	52.73	0.11
1.28	8.73	0.11

Bottom Contributors

Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
1.54	-19.37	-0.30
2.11	-12.17	-0.26
0.74	-33.60	-0.25
0.71	-27.56	-0.20
0.89	-21.42	-0.19
1.76	-9.69	-0.17
1.04	-16.42	-0.17
0.47	-32.56	-0.15
1.03	-12.52	-0.13
1.48	-7.25	-0.11

QMA Large Cap Core Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile	4.8		6.7		5.7		14.7		14.3		19.1		9.5	
25th Percentile	2.0		1.8		1.9		12.7		12.3		17.5		8.1	
Median	0.5		-0.7		-0.3		11.5		11.3		16.6		7.4	
75th Percentile	-0.7		-3.0		-2.5		10.6		10.0		15.5		6.8	
95th Percentile	-2.7		-6.4		-6.5		7.8		8.2		13.9		6.0	
# of Portfolios	248		248		248		244		231		212		189	
● QMA Large Cap Core	1.5	(33)	1.9	(24)	1.7	(27)	13.1	(18)	12.8	(15)	18.0	(15)	--	(--)
▲ S&P 500	1.3	(36)	1.5	(29)	1.8	(26)	11.8	(45)	11.6	(46)	17.0	(38)	7.0	(67)

QMA Large Cap Core
Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	6.3	17.7	39.6	20.1	7.0	19.7	39.1	-26.1	16.3	19.9
25th Percentile	2.7	15.1	35.5	17.2	3.6	15.8	31.2	-32.3	11.1	16.9
Median	0.6	13.3	32.9	15.4	1.3	14.4	26.3	-35.4	7.7	14.8
75th Percentile	-1.6	11.4	30.8	13.4	-1.5	12.3	22.6	-38.1	4.9	12.4
95th Percentile	-4.1	8.2	25.4	9.8	-5.9	9.1	16.1	-42.3	0.7	8.5
# of Portfolios	267	267	261	254	259	254	280	312	320	327
● QMA Large Cap Core	2.1 (31)	15.6 (20)	34.3 (37)	18.1 (18)	2.4 (34)	14.9 (40)	25.2 (58)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)
▲ S&P 500	1.4 (42)	13.7 (42)	32.4 (58)	16.0 (41)	2.1 (40)	15.1 (37)	26.5 (48)	-37.0 (62)	5.5 (71)	15.8 (37)

QMA Large Cap Core Risk vs Return Three & Five Year (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016



- QMA Large Cap Core
- ◆ S&P 500
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US Large Cap Core Equity Gross




- QMA Large Cap Core
- ◆ S&P 500
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US Large Cap Core Equity Gross

QMA Large Cap Core


Rolling Return Analysis (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Rolling 3 Year Annualized Excess Performance



Rolling 5 Year Annualized Excess Performance




Waddell & Reed Manager Portfolio Overview

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Characteristics

	Portfolio	Russell 1000 Growth
Number of Holdings	48	635
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	129.24	125.80
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	67.42	8.50
Price To Earnings	30.61	25.33
Price To Book	6.99	6.65
Price To Sales	6.03	3.66
Return on Equity (%)	25.05	24.23
Yield (%)	1.24	1.61
Beta	1.21	1.00

Sector Allocation (%) vs Russell 1000 Growth



*Unclassified includes Cash

Top Holdings Ending Period Weight

	Weight	Company
VISA 'A'	4.31%	FACEBOOK CLASS A
MASTERCARD	4.29%	HCA HOLDINGS
HOME DEPOT	4.16%	COMCAST 'A'
FACEBOOK CLASS A	4.08%	APPLE
MICROSOFT	3.80%	PHILIP MORRIS INTL.
CELGENE	3.24%	PPG INDUSTRIES
GILEAD SCIENCES	3.19%	O REILLY AUTOMOTIVE
LAM RESEARCH	3.18%	MCDONALDS
APPLE	3.16%	CME GROUP
ALPHABET 'A'	3.08%	COGNIZANT TECH.SLTN.'A'
Total	36.48%	

Top Contributors

Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
3.56	9.02	0.32
1.49	15.41	0.23
1.90	8.73	0.17
3.47	4.10	0.14
1.06	12.78	0.14
0.97	13.25	0.13
1.60	7.99	0.13
1.56	7.19	0.11
1.51	6.70	0.10
2.23	4.47	0.10

Bottom Contributors

Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
1.38	-49.20	-0.68
3.92	-16.42	-0.64
4.03	-12.17	-0.49
1.20	-36.83	-0.44
1.20	-27.01	-0.32
2.28	-11.38	-0.26
1.63	-15.80	-0.26
1.71	-15.03	-0.26
2.93	-8.79	-0.26
1.73	-14.69	-0.25

Waddell & Reed
Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile	2.3		4.5		5.5		15.7		14.4		19.6		10.0	
25th Percentile	0.2		1.0		1.6		14.1		12.6		18.0		8.6	
Median	-1.6		-1.4		-0.6		12.7		11.3		16.9		8.0	
75th Percentile	-3.4		-3.5		-2.8		11.2		10.0		15.9		7.1	
95th Percentile	-5.6		-7.6		-7.1		8.9		8.4		14.2		5.9	
# of Portfolios	267		267		267		261		247		238		210	
● Waddell & Reed	-3.1	(70)	-1.1	(49)	-0.7	(51)	14.1	(26)	12.4	(28)	--	(--)	--	(--)
▲ Russell 1000 Growth	0.7	(19)	2.4	(14)	2.5	(17)	13.6	(34)	12.4	(30)	17.9	(29)	8.3	(40)

Waddell & Reed
Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	11.6	17.3	42.8	21.6	6.4	24.4	49.5	-29.1	26.6	16.3
25th Percentile	7.6	14.3	37.3	18.2	2.2	19.1	38.6	-35.1	19.2	11.7
Median	4.7	12.0	34.3	15.7	-0.3	16.1	34.0	-38.4	13.8	9.2
75th Percentile	2.1	9.5	31.0	13.4	-3.2	13.2	28.7	-41.1	10.2	5.9
95th Percentile	-2.4	5.8	26.6	10.2	-8.0	9.6	17.7	-48.4	5.6	1.5
# of Portfolios	270	291	274	274	294	304	350	381	395	405
● Waddell & Reed	7.6 (26)	12.8 (40)	37.3 (25)	13.0 (78)	3.6 (17)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)
▲ Russell 1000 Growth	5.7 (42)	13.0 (38)	33.5 (56)	15.3 (55)	2.6 (22)	16.7 (46)	37.2 (33)	-38.4 (51)	11.8 (63)	9.1 (53)




- Waddell & Reed
- ◆ Russell 1000 Growth
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US Large Cap Growth Equity Gross




- Waddell & Reed
- ◆ Russell 1000 Growth
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US Large Cap Growth Equity Gross

Rolling 3 Year Annualized Excess Performance



Rolling 5 Year Annualized Excess Performance




Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value Manager Portfolio Overview

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Characteristics

	Portfolio	Russell 1000 Value
Number of Holdings	87	684
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	99.78	105.28
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	28.21	6.91
Price To Earnings	14.52	20.00
Price To Book	2.94	2.26
Price To Sales	2.15	2.54
Return on Equity (%)	15.92	11.46
Yield (%)	1.98	2.59
Beta	1.01	1.00

Sector Allocation (%) vs Russell 1000 Value



*Unclassified includes Cash

Top Holdings Ending Period Weight

BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY 'B'	5.02%
JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.	4.06%
JOHNSON & JOHNSON	4.02%
WELLS FARGO & CO	3.12%
MICROSOFT	3.05%
CAPITAL ONE FINL.	2.94%
VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS	2.65%
CITIGROUP	2.42%
OCCIDENTAL PTL.	2.40%
PHILLIPS 66	2.34%
Total	32.04%

Top Contributors


	Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS	2.00	18.46	0.37
BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY 'B'	4.58	7.45	0.34
TARGET	1.76	14.22	0.25
AES	0.97	24.73	0.24
TYSON FOODS 'A'	0.92	25.28	0.23
BARRICK GOLD (NYS)	0.26	84.28	0.22
JOHNSON & JOHNSON	3.02	6.09	0.18
CBS 'B'	0.95	17.23	0.16
CANADIAN NATURAL RES.	0.60	25.64	0.15
HEWLETT PACKARD ENTER.	0.89	17.05	0.15

Bottom Contributors

	Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
CITIGROUP	2.94	-19.22	-0.57
JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.	4.44	-9.69	-0.43
EXPRESS SCRIPTS HOLDING	1.87	-21.42	-0.40
WELLS FARGO & CO	3.71	-10.34	-0.38
PFIZER	2.52	-7.25	-0.18
ACTIVISION BLIZZARD	1.54	-11.87	-0.18
MCKESSON	0.82	-20.13	-0.17
GILEAD SCIENCES	1.70	-8.79	-0.15
EBAY	1.01	-13.17	-0.13
LIBERTY GLOBAL SR.C	1.67	-7.87	-0.13

Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile	5.8		5.7		5.1		12.7		13.2		19.2		9.3	
25th Percentile	2.9		0.0		0.1		11.2		11.4		17.3		7.6	
Median	0.9		-2.8		-2.0		10.0		10.3		16.1		6.7	
75th Percentile	-0.7		-5.4		-4.9		8.6		9.1		14.9		6.0	
95th Percentile	-3.1		-9.5		-9.8		5.6		6.5		13.3		4.2	
# of Portfolios	317		317		317		309		294		276		245	
● Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value	-0.3	(70)	-4.7	(68)	-4.3	(71)	9.7	(57)	11.0	(33)	16.8	(37)	7.6	(25)
▲ Russell 1000 Value	1.6	(41)	-1.6	(42)	-1.5	(43)	9.4	(64)	10.2	(52)	16.3	(46)	5.7	(80)

Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value
Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	2.8	16.3	42.5	21.5	9.5	19.2	42.3	-24.7	12.9	24.3
25th Percentile	-0.4	13.9	37.2	18.0	3.4	16.3	29.5	-32.1	7.2	21.3
Median	-2.6	12.2	33.6	15.7	0.5	14.3	24.3	-35.1	4.2	19.0
75th Percentile	-5.1	10.4	30.8	13.0	-3.1	12.7	19.6	-37.9	0.7	16.9
95th Percentile	-9.4	5.9	24.6	9.6	-8.6	10.1	13.5	-45.3	-5.9	13.6
# of Portfolios	312	307	310	303	310	323	360	376	406	408
● Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value	-3.9 (65)	11.8 (58)	37.0 (26)	21.5 (6)	1.2 (43)	13.8 (61)	26.7 (35)	-33.0 (30)	5.2 (41)	20.0 (39)
▲ Russell 1000 Value	-3.8 (64)	13.5 (33)	32.5 (60)	17.5 (30)	0.4 (51)	15.5 (35)	19.7 (75)	-36.8 (68)	-0.2 (80)	22.2 (17)



- Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value
- ◆ Russell 1000 Value
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US Large Cap Value Equity Gross




- Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value
- ◆ Russell 1000 Value
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US Large Cap Value Equity Gross


Robeco Boston Partners Large Cap Value Rolling Return Analysis (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Rolling 3 Year Annualized Excess Performance



Rolling 5 Year Annualized Excess Performance




William Blair Mid Cap Growth Manager Portfolio Overview

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Characteristics

	Portfolio	Russell 2500 Growth
Number of Holdings	67	1,486
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	5.77	4.36
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	4.69	1.00
Price To Earnings	29.62	27.47
Price To Book	5.34	4.70
Price To Sales	3.76	3.02
Return on Equity (%)	23.36	19.42
Yield (%)	0.72	0.85
Beta	0.82	1.00

Sector Allocation (%) vs Russell 2500 Growth



*Unclassified includes Cash

Top Holdings Ending Period Weight

GUIDEWIRE SOFTWARE	2.77%	OLD DOMINION FGT.LINES
SIX FLAGS ENTM.	2.68%	VANTIV CLASS A
OLD DOMINION FGT.LINES	2.52%	TORO
METTLER TOLEDO INTL.	2.44%	FIRST CASH FINL.SVS.
COSTAR GP.	2.40%	SALLY BEAUTY HOLDINGS
LIGAND PHARMS.'B'	2.34%	POLARIS INDUSTRIES
IDEXX LABORATORIES	2.31%	ALIGN TECHNOLOGY
VANTIV CLASS A	2.27%	DIAMONDBACK ENERGY
JARDEN	2.26%	HEALTHSOUTH
MAXIMUS	2.19%	WD-40
Total	24.19%	

Top Contributors

Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
1.93	17.86	0.34
1.80	13.62	0.25
1.16	18.27	0.21
0.90	23.43	0.21
1.27	16.10	0.20
1.25	15.27	0.19
1.78	10.39	0.19
1.01	15.37	0.15
1.75	8.77	0.15
1.37	9.96	0.14

Bottom Contributors

Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
2.30	-24.86	-0.57
1.29	-38.70	-0.50
1.63	-26.22	-0.43
1.48	-26.61	-0.39
2.76	-9.44	-0.26
0.84	-26.98	-0.23
0.66	-33.26	-0.22
2.12	-9.82	-0.21
1.07	-19.23	-0.21
1.61	-11.25	-0.18

William Blair Mid Cap Growth Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)													
	3.0	-0.3	0.3	13.8	12.4	20.6	9.9							
5th Percentile	0.1	-4.5	-3.6	11.1	10.1	18.4	8.4							
25th Percentile	-1.5	-7.6	-7.3	9.6	8.7	17.4	7.6							
Median	-4.1	-10.4	-9.7	7.8	7.6	16.4	6.7							
75th Percentile	-8.4	-18.2	-16.2	5.0	3.9	14.6	4.8							
95th Percentile	107	107	107	105	99	92	83							
# of Portfolios														
● William Blair Mid Cap Growth	-2.4	(59)	-5.7	(33)	-4.0	(29)	12.5	(11)	11.0	(16)	19.4	(11)	9.1	(16)
▲ Russell 2500 Growth	-2.7	(60)	-10.1	(72)	-9.6	(75)	9.2	(60)	8.8	(49)	18.3	(26)	7.0	(66)

William Blair Mid Cap Growth Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	6.7	14.2	46.0	21.3	6.1	35.3	59.6	-32.0	34.5	20.6
25th Percentile	2.5	10.9	39.2	17.6	1.3	29.8	47.2	-39.3	23.5	13.7
Median	0.0	8.6	36.4	15.4	-2.1	26.7	41.9	-43.2	18.0	9.8
75th Percentile	-2.1	6.1	33.2	12.2	-6.1	22.5	34.9	-45.5	11.8	6.8
95th Percentile	-6.3	2.6	29.0	6.0	-10.4	18.3	25.7	-49.8	5.3	3.8
# of Portfolios	105	117	106	111	122	127	142	158	154	155
● William Blair Mid Cap Growth	6.1 (7)	9.8 (33)	43.1 (11)	13.8 (68)	0.9 (28)	24.4 (65)	46.3 (30)	-37.4 (19)	13.6 (68)	-- (--)
▲ Russell 2500 Growth	-0.2 (55)	7.1 (65)	40.7 (17)	16.1 (44)	-1.6 (46)	28.9 (35)	41.7 (51)	-41.5 (39)	9.7 (85)	12.3 (30)


William Blair Mid Cap Growth

Risk vs Return Three & Five Year (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016



- William Blair Mid Cap Growth
- ◆ Russell 2500 Growth
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US Mid Cap Growth Equity Gross




- William Blair Mid Cap Growth
- ◆ Russell 2500 Growth
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US Mid Cap Growth Equity Gross


William Blair Mid Cap Growth Rolling Return Analysis (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Rolling 3 Year Annualized Excess Performance



Rolling 5 Year Annualized Excess Performance




Lee Munder Small Value Manager Portfolio Overview

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Characteristics

	Portfolio	Russell 2000 Value
Number of Holdings	102	1,325
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	2.61	1.74
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	2.29	0.58
Price To Earnings	23.54	20.37
Price To Book	2.27	1.64
Price To Sales	2.17	2.50
Return on Equity (%)	9.86	7.13
Yield (%)	1.93	2.01
Beta	0.83	1.00

Sector Allocation (%) vs Russell 2000 Value



*Unclassified includes Cash

Top Holdings Ending Period Weight

	Weight	Company
AMSURG	2.04%	GREAT PLAINS EN.
STERLING BANCORP	1.94%	ALLEGHENY TECHS.
TREEHOUSE FOODS	1.94%	ENPRO INDS.
BLACKSTONE MGE.TST.CL.A	1.83%	COHERENT
GREAT PLAINS EN.	1.83%	CORPORATE OFFICE PROPS. TST.
DARLING INGREDIENTS	1.73%	DARLING INGREDIENTS
PORTLAND GEN.ELEC.	1.73%	GENERAC HOLDINGS
HORACE MANN EDUCATORS	1.63%	HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP
CORPORATE OFFICE PROPS. TST.	1.53%	PORTLAND GEN.ELEC.
HEALTHSOUTH	1.53%	CLEAN HARBORS
Total	17.74%	

Top Contributors


Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
2.31	19.14	0.44
0.70	45.62	0.32
0.90	32.04	0.29
0.70	41.15	0.29
1.31	21.46	0.28
1.00	27.12	0.27
1.00	25.09	0.25
1.71	11.52	0.20
2.01	9.41	0.19
1.00	18.46	0.19

Bottom Contributors

Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
0.90	-25.84	-0.23
0.60	-36.97	-0.22
0.80	-20.70	-0.17
1.20	-12.57	-0.15
1.20	-12.34	-0.15
1.31	-9.28	-0.12
1.31	-7.90	-0.10
0.70	-14.43	-0.10
1.31	-7.53	-0.10
1.51	-5.54	-0.08

Lee Munder Small Value
Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



		Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile		6.2	-0.1	0.8	11.8	12.5	23.1	9.5							
25th Percentile		4.0	-3.0	-3.1	9.7	10.1	20.1	7.8							
Median		2.4	-5.1	-5.3	8.3	8.9	18.1	7.0							
75th Percentile		0.7	-8.1	-8.1	6.6	7.0	16.5	6.0							
95th Percentile		-2.1	-14.8	-14.7	1.6	3.7	14.0	4.6							
# of Portfolios		205	205	205	201	194	178	148							
●	Lee Munder Small Value	4.6	(19)	0.0	(5)	0.7	(6)	9.2	(35)	8.6	(56)	--	(--)	--	(--)
▲	Russell 2000 Value	1.7	(62)	-6.6	(64)	-7.7	(73)	5.7	(80)	6.7	(80)	15.5	(87)	4.4	(96)

Lee Munder Small Value
Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	1.5	11.2	49.4	25.7	5.3	35.6	64.2	-23.8	9.3	25.9
25th Percentile	-2.2	8.2	42.1	20.8	0.0	30.2	42.1	-28.7	1.5	21.6
Median	-4.3	5.8	38.1	16.9	-3.3	26.9	32.0	-32.3	-2.9	18.7
75th Percentile	-7.7	3.1	35.2	14.7	-6.2	23.8	25.5	-36.3	-8.1	15.0
95th Percentile	-15.8	-6.3	27.8	10.3	-12.6	19.2	16.1	-43.3	-16.1	10.6
# of Portfolios	212	206	199	187	177	186	197	221	230	223
● Lee Munder Small Value	0.4 (9)	5.1 (60)	33.1 (85)	15.7 (61)	-6.9 (79)	26.8 (54)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)
▲ Russell 2000 Value	-7.5 (74)	4.2 (68)	34.5 (78)	18.1 (43)	-5.5 (69)	24.5 (72)	20.6 (85)	-28.9 (27)	-9.8 (82)	23.5 (14)

Lee Munder Small Value
Risk vs Return Three & Five Year (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016




- Lee Munder Small Value
- ◆ Russell 2000 Value
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US Small Cap Value Equity Gross




- Lee Munder Small Value
- ◆ Russell 2000 Value
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US Small Cap Value Equity Gross

Rolling 3 Year Annualized Excess Performance



Rolling 5 Year Annualized Excess Performance




SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index Manager Portfolio Overview

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

Characteristics

	Portfolio	Russell Small Cap Completeness
Number of Holdings	2,481	2,476
Weighted Avg. Market Cap. (\$B)	4.92	4.95
Median Market Cap. (\$B)	0.94	0.95
Price To Earnings	24.00	23.81
Price To Book	3.34	2.98
Price To Sales	3.46	2.95
Return on Equity (%)	13.40	12.23
Yield (%)	1.64	1.40
Beta		1.00

Sector Allocation (%) vs Russell Small Cap Completeness



*Unclassified includes Cash

Top Holdings Ending Period Weight

TESLA MOTORS	0.58%	LAS VEGAS SANDS	0.40	19.47	0.08
LAS VEGAS SANDS	0.49%	VALSPAR	0.17	29.54	0.05
CHARTER COMMS.CL.A	0.39%	DIGITAL REALTY TST.	0.27	18.22	0.05
FLEETCOR TECHNOLOGIES	0.35%	AMERICAN WATER WORKS	0.26	15.98	0.04
THOMSON REUTERS (NYS)	0.35%	LULULEMON ATHLETICA	0.14	29.05	0.04
BIOMARIN PHARM.	0.34%	CHARTER COMMS.CL.A	0.34	10.56	0.04
SBA COMMS.	0.34%	ALLIANT ENERGY CORP.	0.17	20.07	0.04
DIGITAL REALTY TST.	0.34%	PENNEY JC	0.05	66.07	0.03
MARKEL	0.33%	UNITED STATES STEEL	0.03	102.50	0.03
LINKEDIN CLASS A	0.33%	UGI	0.14	20.04	0.03
Total	3.85%				

Top Contributors


Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
0.40	19.47	0.08
0.17	29.54	0.05
0.27	18.22	0.05
0.26	15.98	0.04
0.14	29.05	0.04
0.34	10.56	0.04
0.17	20.07	0.04
0.05	66.07	0.03
0.03	102.50	0.03
0.14	20.04	0.03

Bottom Contributors

Avg Wgt	Return	Contribution
0.61	-49.20	-0.30
0.29	-56.93	-0.17
0.42	-33.18	-0.14
0.33	-29.32	-0.10
0.41	-21.27	-0.09
0.18	-34.60	-0.06
0.11	-52.69	-0.06
0.31	-19.10	-0.06
0.11	-51.32	-0.06
0.17	-33.32	-0.06

SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile	4.5		1.2		0.8		12.9		12.8		21.5		9.4	
25th Percentile	1.8		-4.8		-4.0		10.5		10.7		19.7		8.0	
Median	-0.2		-7.4		-7.0		9.2		9.5		18.5		6.9	
75th Percentile	-2.2		-10.1		-9.8		7.2		7.5		16.9		5.7	
95th Percentile	-5.3		-14.7		-15.1		3.2		4.1		14.3		3.9	
# of Portfolios	150		150		150		149		140		125		107	
● SSGA Russell Small Cap Completeness Index	-0.7	(60)	-8.4	(60)	-8.8	(71)	--	(--)	--	(--)	--	(--)	--	(--)
▲ Russell Small Cap Completeness	-0.7	(60)	-8.4	(61)	-8.8	(71)	8.1	(63)	8.4	(66)	17.8	(61)	6.6	(55)

Total International Equity Asset Class Overview (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total International Equity	249,864,188	-0.2	-9.8	-8.9	1.3	0.2	0.5	-5.9	-4.8	18.5	15.7	-15.5
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross		-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	2.4	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3
eA All EAFE Equity Gross Rank		24	83	88	94	97	99	96	67	85	88	82
PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund	79,298,402	1.6	-11.5	-10.9	1.5	--	--	-10.9	-5.7	24.5	--	--
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross		-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	--	--	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	--	--
eA All EAFE Equity Gross Rank		9	94	94	91	--	--	99	79	51	--	--
SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund	83,295,157	-0.3	-9.5	-9.0	0.6	0.6	--	-5.5	-3.6	15.5	17.1	-13.5
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross		-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	--	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3
eA All EAFE Equity Gross Rank		26	81	88	97	96	--	96	49	90	81	64
Pyramis International Growth	87,270,628	-1.6	-8.3	-6.8	1.9	1.9	2.3	-1.5	-5.3	18.5	19.4	-13.0
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross		-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	2.4	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3
eA All EAFE Equity Gross Rank		46	70	69	87	90	80	77	75	85	59	59

EAFE Effective Style Map
3 Years



EAFE Effective Style Map
5 Years



Research Affiliates converted to PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund on 6/5/15 (performance prior to this date represents previously held Enhanced RAFI Global ex US).

Total International Equity Asset Class Overview (Net of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total International Equity	249,864,188	-0.2	-10.0	-9.2	1.0	-0.1	0.1	-6.2	-5.0	18.3	15.3	-15.9
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross		-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	2.4	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3
PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund	79,298,402	1.5	-11.9	-11.4	1.1	--	--	-11.4	-5.9	23.7	--	--
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross		-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	--	--	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	--	--
SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund	83,295,157	-0.3	-9.6	-9.1	0.5	0.5	--	-5.5	-3.7	15.5	17.1	-13.5
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross		-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	--	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3
Pyramis International Growth	87,270,628	-1.7	-8.6	-7.2	1.5	1.4	1.8	-1.9	-5.7	17.9	18.6	-13.5
MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross		-0.3	-9.4	-8.8	0.8	0.8	2.4	-5.3	-3.4	15.8	17.4	-13.3

Research Affiliates converted to PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund on 6/5/15 (performance prior to this date represents previously held Enhanced RAFI Global ex US).

PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund
Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile	3.0		1.6		6.1		10.3		9.7		18.1		6.8	
25th Percentile	-0.3		-3.1		-1.6		6.2		6.1		13.8		4.8	
Median	-1.8		-6.5		-4.9		4.1		4.3		11.8		3.5	
75th Percentile	-3.1		-8.8		-7.8		2.6		2.7		10.2		2.6	
95th Percentile	-4.7		-12.2		-11.5		0.8		0.9		8.8		1.3	
# of Portfolios	314		314		313		297		275		256		195	
● PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund	1.6	(9)	-11.5	(94)	-10.9	(94)	1.5	(91)	--	(--)	--	(--)	--	(--)
▲ MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross	-0.3	(25)	-9.4	(81)	-8.8	(87)	0.8	(95)	0.8	(96)	9.7	(87)	2.4	(78)

PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund
Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)																			
5th Percentile	12.6	3.0	36.3	31.7	-5.1	25.7	57.3	-34.5	28.5	36.2										
25th Percentile	5.2	-1.9	28.1	23.1	-9.7	16.1	44.0	-40.8	18.0	30.7										
Median	1.4	-3.7	24.6	20.4	-12.0	11.7	36.5	-44.1	13.2	27.3										
75th Percentile	-0.9	-5.4	20.5	17.5	-14.5	8.7	30.7	-47.5	9.1	24.8										
95th Percentile	-5.4	-8.6	8.6	13.3	-18.2	4.6	23.7	-51.5	1.2	18.9										
# of Portfolios	325	314	284	263	278	352	455	477	466	434										
● PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund	-10.9 (99)	-5.7 (79)	24.5 (51)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)										
▲ MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross	-5.3 (95)	-3.4 (47)	15.8 (90)	17.4 (77)	-13.3 (62)	11.6 (52)	42.1 (30)	-45.2 (59)	17.1 (31)	27.1 (53)										


PIMCO RAE Fundamental Global Ex US Fund
Risk vs Return Three Years (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016



SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund
Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile	3.0		1.6		6.1		10.3		9.7		18.1		6.8	
25th Percentile	-0.3		-3.1		-1.6		6.2		6.1		13.8		4.8	
Median	-1.8		-6.5		-4.9		4.1		4.3		11.8		3.5	
75th Percentile	-3.1		-8.8		-7.8		2.6		2.7		10.2		2.6	
95th Percentile	-4.7		-12.2		-11.5		0.8		0.9		8.8		1.3	
# of Portfolios	314		314		313		297		275		256		195	
● SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund	-0.3	(26)	-9.5	(81)	-9.0	(88)	0.6	(97)	0.6	(96)	--	(--)	--	(--)
▲ MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross	-0.3	(25)	-9.4	(81)	-8.8	(87)	0.8	(95)	0.8	(96)	9.7	(87)	2.4	(78)

SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund
Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile	12.6		3.0		36.3		31.7		-5.1		25.7		57.3	
25th Percentile	5.2		-1.9		28.1		23.1		-9.7		16.1		44.0	
Median	1.4		-3.7		24.6		20.4		-12.0		11.7		36.5	
75th Percentile	-0.9		-5.4		20.5		17.5		-14.5		8.7		30.7	
95th Percentile	-5.4		-8.6		8.6		13.3		-18.2		4.6		23.7	
# of Portfolios	325		314		284		263		278		352		455	
● SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund	-5.5	(96)	-3.6	(49)	15.5	(90)	17.1	(81)	-13.5	(64)	11.2	(55)	--	(--)
▲ MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross	-5.3	(95)	-3.4	(47)	15.8	(90)	17.4	(77)	-13.3	(62)	11.6	(52)	42.1	(30)


SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund

Risk vs Return Three & Five Year (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016




- SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund
- ◆ MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA All EAFE Equity Gross



- SSGA MSCI ACWI Ex US Index Fund
- ◆ MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA All EAFE Equity Gross

Pyramis International Growth Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)											
5th Percentile	3.0		1.6		6.1		10.3		9.7		18.1	
25th Percentile	-0.3		-3.1		-1.6		6.2		6.1		13.8	
Median	-1.8		-6.5		-4.9		4.1		4.3		11.8	
75th Percentile	-3.1		-8.8		-7.8		2.6		2.7		10.2	
95th Percentile	-4.7		-12.2		-11.5		0.8		0.9		8.8	
# of Portfolios	314		314		313		297		275		256	
● Pyramis International Growth	-1.6	(46)	-8.3	(70)	-6.8	(69)	1.9	(87)	1.9	(90)	10.2	(77)
▲ MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross	-0.3	(25)	-9.4	(81)	-8.8	(87)	0.8	(95)	0.8	(96)	9.7	(87)
✕ Tulare International Custom	-1.6	(47)	-9.1	(79)	-8.4	(83)	1.7	(90)	1.8	(91)	10.0	(81)

Pyramis International Growth Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	12.6	3.0	36.3	31.7	-5.1	25.7	57.3	-34.5	28.5	36.2
25th Percentile	5.2	-1.9	28.1	23.1	-9.7	16.1	44.0	-40.8	18.0	30.7
Median	1.4	-3.7	24.6	20.4	-12.0	11.7	36.5	-44.1	13.2	27.3
75th Percentile	-0.9	-5.4	20.5	17.5	-14.5	8.7	30.7	-47.5	9.1	24.8
95th Percentile	-5.4	-8.6	8.6	13.3	-18.2	4.6	23.7	-51.5	1.2	18.9
# of Portfolios	325	314	284	263	278	352	455	477	466	434
● Pyramis International Growth	-1.5 (77)	-5.3 (75)	18.5 (85)	19.4 (59)	-13.0 (59)	11.5 (53)	36.1 (51)	-44.3 (52)	15.6 (38)	24.5 (77)
▲ MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross	-5.3 (95)	-3.4 (47)	15.8 (90)	17.4 (77)	-13.3 (62)	11.6 (52)	42.1 (30)	-45.2 (59)	17.1 (31)	27.1 (53)
✕ Tulare International Custom	-2.6 (83)	-4.0 (55)	19.1 (83)	18.1 (70)	-12.6 (56)	9.8 (67)	38.9 (40)	-44.6 (56)	15.6 (38)	27.8 (47)

Pyramis International Growth Risk vs Return Three & Five Year (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016




- Pyramis International Growth
- ◆ MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross
- Tulare International Custom
- ▲ Universe Median
- ▣ 68% Confidence Interval
- eA All EAFE Equity Gross




- Pyramis International Growth
- ◆ MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross
- Tulare International Custom
- ▲ Universe Median
- ▣ 68% Confidence Interval
- eA All EAFE Equity Gross

Rolling 3 Year Annualized Excess Performance



Rolling 5 Year Annualized Excess Performance



Total Fixed Income Asset Class Overview (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016


	Market Value	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Fixed Income	334,957,790	2.6	1.4	0.2	1.6	4.2	5.3	-0.8	4.6	-0.9	8.4	9.1
Barclays Aggregate		3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	3.8	4.9	0.6	6.0	-2.0	4.2	7.8
eA US Core Fixed Inc Gross Rank		85	97	99	99	51	56	99	85	28	10	7
Total Domestic Fixed Income												
BlackRock Fixed Income	107,013,065	3.0	3.8	2.2	2.9	4.3	5.4	1.1	6.2	-1.5	5.5	8.1
Barclays Aggregate		3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	3.8	4.9	0.6	6.0	-2.0	4.2	7.8
eA All US Fixed Inc Gross Rank		30	23	27	31	43	40	39	29	75	56	28
Doubleline Core Plus	52,220,242	3.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Barclays Aggregate		3.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
eA US Core Plus Fixed Inc Gross Rank		32	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MacKay Shields Core Plus	51,766,524	2.9	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Barclays Aggregate		3.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
eA US Core Plus Fixed Inc Gross Rank		54	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
PIMCO Core Plus	372,521	1.3	-0.2	-1.8	0.4	--	--	-1.9	5.2	-3.2	--	--
Barclays Aggregate		3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	--	--	0.6	6.0	-2.0	--	--
eA US Core Plus Fixed Inc Gross Rank		96	93	95	99	--	--	92	74	99	--	--
Shenkman High Yield	33,876,501	2.2	-3.7	-3.4	2.1	4.4	--	-2.4	2.5	6.3	12.3	6.1
BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield Master II TR		3.2	-3.9	-4.0	1.8	4.7	--	-4.6	2.5	7.4	15.6	4.4
eA US High Yield Fixed Inc Gross Rank		69	62	61	60	77	--	51	52	81	89	27
SSGA TIPS	30,572,687	4.4	2.6	1.5	-0.7	3.0	--	-1.4	3.6	-8.6	6.9	13.5
Barclays US TIPS		4.5	2.6	1.5	-0.7	3.0	--	-1.4	3.6	-8.6	7.0	13.6
eA TIPS / Infl Indexed Fixed Inc Gross Rank		32	33	52	61	48	--	63	44	77	67	51
Vertas Transition Account	9,773	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total Global Fixed Income												
Franklin Templeton Global Bond Plus	59,126,478	0.6	-3.1	-3.5	0.2	--	--	-3.5	2.4	3.0	--	--
JPM GBI Global TR Hedged USD		3.9	6.0	3.2	4.2	--	--	1.3	8.5	-0.4	--	--
eA Global Fixed Inc Hedged Gross Rank		88	85	95	76	--	--	67	55	37	--	--

Vertas Transition Account used to liquidated PIMCO Core Plus on 11/15/15, remaining balance is residual cash. MacKay Shields and Doubleline funded 12/1/15.

Fixed Income Style Map
3 Years



Fixed Income Style Map
5 Years



Total Fixed Income Asset Class Overview (Net of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Fixed Income	334,957,790	2.6	1.2	0.0	1.3	3.9	5.1	-1.1	4.3	-1.2	8.0	8.8
<i>Barclays Aggregate</i>		3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	3.8	4.9	0.6	6.0	-2.0	4.2	7.8
Total Domestic Fixed Income												
BlackRock Fixed Income	107,013,065	3.0	3.6	1.9	2.6	4.0	5.2	0.8	6.0	-1.8	5.2	8.0
<i>Barclays Aggregate</i>		3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	3.8	4.9	0.6	6.0	-2.0	4.2	7.8
Doubleline Core Plus	52,220,242	3.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Barclays Aggregate</i>		3.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MacKay Shields Core Plus	51,766,524	2.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Barclays Aggregate</i>		3.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
PIMCO Core Plus	372,521	1.2	-0.4	-2.1	0.0	--	--	-2.2	4.9	-3.5	--	--
<i>Barclays Aggregate</i>		3.0	3.7	2.0	2.5	--	--	0.6	6.0	-2.0	--	--
Shenkman High Yield	33,876,501	2.0	-4.0	-3.9	1.6	3.9	--	-2.9	2.0	5.8	11.7	5.4
<i>BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield Master II TR</i>		3.2	-3.9	-4.0	1.8	4.7	--	-4.6	2.5	7.4	15.6	4.4
SSGA TIPS	30,572,687	4.4	2.5	1.4	-0.8	3.0	--	-1.5	3.6	-8.6	6.9	13.5
<i>Barclays US TIPS</i>		4.5	2.6	1.5	-0.7	3.0	--	-1.4	3.6	-8.6	7.0	13.6
Vertas Transition Account	9,773	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total Global Fixed Income												
Franklin Templeton Global Bond Plus	59,126,478	0.4	-3.5	-4.1	-0.3	--	--	-4.1	1.9	2.5	--	--
<i>JPM GBI Global TR Hedged USD</i>		3.9	6.0	3.2	4.2	--	--	1.3	8.5	-0.4	--	--

Vertas Transition Account used to liquidated PIMCO Core Plus on 11/15/15, remaining balance is residual cash. MacKay Shields and Doubleline funded 12/1/15.

BlackRock Fixed Income Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)											
5th Percentile	7.0		7.7		3.7		5.1		8.7		12.3	
25th Percentile	3.1		3.7		2.2		3.2		5.2		8.3	
Median	2.3		2.6		1.5		2.4		4.0		5.3	
75th Percentile	1.3		0.9		0.5		1.6		2.9		3.7	
95th Percentile	0.3		-3.7		-3.5		0.3		0.9		1.3	
# of Portfolios	1,405		1,405		1,405		1,381		1,313		1,179	
● BlackRock Fixed Income	3.0	(30)	3.8	(23)	2.2	(27)	2.9	(31)	4.3	(43)	5.5	(48)
▲ Barclays Aggregate	3.0	(29)	3.7	(25)	2.0	(34)	2.5	(49)	3.8	(57)	4.5	(62)
											4.9	(56)

BlackRock Fixed Income Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	3.0	17.7	8.7	16.2	18.6	15.5	47.9	9.4	8.9	10.8
25th Percentile	1.4	6.4	0.9	10.2	8.2	9.7	16.3	5.4	7.2	5.3
Median	0.8	4.2	-0.3	6.1	6.5	6.9	9.3	2.4	6.2	4.7
75th Percentile	-0.5	2.0	-1.5	3.8	4.0	4.8	5.7	-4.3	4.7	4.3
95th Percentile	-4.1	0.5	-7.5	1.1	0.4	1.4	1.2	-25.4	2.3	3.0
# of Portfolios	1,394	1,364	1,281	1,241	1,211	1,157	1,287	1,380	1,419	1,435
● BlackRock Fixed Income	1.1 (39)	6.2 (29)	-1.5 (75)	5.5 (56)	8.1 (28)	7.2 (45)	9.4 (50)	2.9 (48)	7.6 (16)	4.4 (66)
▲ Barclays Aggregate	0.6 (59)	6.0 (33)	-2.0 (83)	4.2 (70)	7.8 (32)	6.5 (57)	5.9 (73)	5.2 (27)	7.0 (33)	4.3 (72)




- BlackRock Fixed Income
- ◆ Barclays Aggregate
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA All US Fixed Inc Gross




- BlackRock Fixed Income
- ◆ Barclays Aggregate
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA All US Fixed Inc Gross

Rolling 3 Year Annualized Excess Performance




Rolling 5 Year Annualized Excess Performance



PIMCO Core Plus

Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)											
5th Percentile	3.7		3.9		2.7		3.7		5.6		10.4	
25th Percentile	3.2		3.3		1.9		3.1		5.0		8.1	
Median	2.9		2.7		1.3		2.7		4.6		7.1	
75th Percentile	2.5		1.6		0.6		2.3		4.3		6.1	
95th Percentile	1.5		-1.3		-2.2		1.8		3.8		4.9	
# of Portfolios	114		114		114		114		111		104	
● PIMCO Core Plus	1.3	(96)	-0.2	(93)	-1.8	(95)	0.4	(99)	--	(--)	--	(--)
▲ Barclays Aggregate	3.0	(39)	3.7	(10)	2.0	(21)	2.5	(65)	3.8	(95)	4.5	(97)

PIMCO Core Plus
Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	1.9	7.7	4.6	14.4	8.8	13.6	32.9	6.9	7.9	7.6
25th Percentile	0.9	6.7	1.0	10.2	8.1	10.8	20.6	2.7	6.9	5.9
Median	0.3	5.9	-0.4	8.3	7.4	9.1	14.6	-1.6	6.1	5.2
75th Percentile	-0.2	5.2	-1.0	6.7	6.3	8.0	11.2	-8.9	5.2	4.7
95th Percentile	-2.4	3.6	-2.0	5.1	4.4	7.0	7.8	-16.8	2.7	4.2
# of Portfolios	115	118	116	124	118	123	128	136	144	146
● PIMCO Core Plus	-1.9 (92)	5.2 (74)	-3.2 (99)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)
▲ Barclays Aggregate	0.6 (42)	6.0 (50)	-2.0 (96)	4.2 (97)	7.8 (37)	6.5 (97)	5.9 (99)	5.2 (13)	7.0 (25)	4.3 (90)



Shenkman High Yield Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile	3.7		0.9		1.8		4.2		6.7		13.8		8.3	
25th Percentile	3.0		-0.9		-0.7		3.2		5.7		12.3		7.4	
Median	2.6		-3.1		-2.6		2.4		5.2		11.4		6.9	
75th Percentile	1.9		-4.6		-4.2		1.6		4.5		10.4		6.3	
95th Percentile	0.8		-8.3		-8.0		-0.1		3.1		8.7		5.5	
# of Portfolios	147		147		147		143		126		110		102	
● Shenkman High Yield	2.2	(69)	-3.7	(62)	-3.4	(61)	2.1	(60)	4.4	(77)	--	(--)	--	(--)
▲ BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield Master II TR	3.2	(15)	-3.9	(64)	-4.0	(73)	1.8	(68)	4.7	(69)	12.4	(23)	6.8	(52)

Shenkman High Yield Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	2.3	5.2	11.7	19.4	7.9	22.8	70.8	-13.1	5.3	17.9
25th Percentile	-0.7	3.5	9.1	16.7	6.2	16.3	51.8	-18.6	4.1	12.0
Median	-2.4	2.5	7.6	15.5	4.9	14.9	45.0	-21.2	3.5	10.5
75th Percentile	-3.8	1.5	6.6	14.0	3.5	13.6	37.4	-24.9	2.5	9.3
95th Percentile	-7.2	0.0	5.0	8.9	-0.7	10.9	29.6	-35.7	-1.6	7.5
# of Portfolios	155	141	130	129	117	106	123	131	133	138
● Shenkman High Yield	-2.4 (51)	2.5 (52)	6.3 (81)	12.3 (89)	6.1 (27)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)
▲ BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield Master II TR	-4.6 (83)	2.5 (51)	7.4 (55)	15.6 (47)	4.4 (60)	15.2 (42)	57.5 (16)	-26.4 (82)	2.2 (83)	11.8 (30)

Shenkman High Yield Risk vs Return Three & Five Year (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016




- Shenkman High Yield
- ◆ BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield Master II TR
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US High Yield Fixed Inc Gross




- Shenkman High Yield
- ◆ BofA Merrill Lynch US High Yield Master II TR
- ▲ Universe Median
- 68% Confidence Interval
- eA US High Yield Fixed Inc Gross




	Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile	5.6	3.4	2.0	0.3	4.0	5.9	5.2							
25th Percentile	4.6	2.7	1.8	-0.3	3.3	4.5	4.8							
Median	4.1	2.3	1.5	-0.6	3.0	4.3	4.7							
75th Percentile	3.5	1.6	1.1	-0.9	2.1	3.9	4.3							
95th Percentile	1.7	-0.1	-1.2	-1.7	1.4	2.8	4.1							
# of Portfolios	39	39	39	39	36	32	22							
● SSGA TIPS	4.4 (32)	2.6 (33)	1.5 (52)	-0.7 (61)	3.0 (48)	-- (--)	-- (--)	4.4 (32)	2.6 (33)	1.5 (52)	-0.7 (61)	3.0 (48)	-- (--)	-- (--)
▲ Barclays US TIPS	4.5 (32)	2.6 (33)	1.5 (50)	-0.7 (60)	3.0 (48)	4.2 (58)	4.6 (56)	4.5 (32)	2.6 (33)	1.5 (50)	-0.7 (60)	3.0 (48)	4.2 (58)	4.6 (56)



	Return (Rank)									
5th Percentile	-0.1	5.7	-2.5	13.1	15.3	9.4	16.7	1.3	12.3	2.0
25th Percentile	-0.7	4.0	-5.6	7.5	13.9	6.7	12.0	-0.5	11.8	1.7
Median	-1.3	3.5	-8.2	7.1	13.5	6.4	11.1	-1.4	11.6	0.8
75th Percentile	-1.6	1.4	-8.6	6.3	10.4	6.0	10.5	-1.9	11.5	0.5
95th Percentile	-3.6	0.4	-9.4	4.9	6.6	4.6	8.7	-4.6	8.8	0.2
# of Portfolios	44	50	43	43	47	39	37	40	37	35
● SSGA TIPS	-1.4 (63)	3.6 (44)	-8.6 (77)	6.9 (67)	13.5 (51)	6.3 (62)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)
▲ Barclays US TIPS	-1.4 (59)	3.6 (44)	-8.6 (76)	7.0 (66)	13.6 (49)	6.3 (57)	11.4 (35)	-2.4 (85)	11.6 (49)	0.5 (80)




- SSGA TIPS
- ◆ Barclays US TIPS
- ▲ Universe Median
- ▣ 68% Confidence Interval
- eA TIPS / Inflation Indexed Fixed Income Gross



- SSGA TIPS
- ◆ Barclays US TIPS
- ▲ Universe Median
- ▣ 68% Confidence Interval
- eA TIPS / Inflation Indexed Fixed Income Gross

Franklin Templeton Global Bond Plus Cumulative Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)


Period Ending: March 31, 2016




	Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile	8.8		5.9		5.5		4.3		6.1		12.8		7.2	
25th Percentile	5.4		3.8		2.7		3.3		5.0		9.6		6.4	
Median	3.2		1.3		1.0		1.9		3.3		6.7		5.3	
75th Percentile	1.9		-1.4		-0.9		0.3		1.5		4.8		4.7	
95th Percentile	-2.4		-5.9		-4.0		-4.0		-0.5		2.7		3.2	
# of Portfolios	156		156		155		145		128		102		71	
● Franklin Templeton Global Bond Plus	0.6	(88)	-3.1	(85)	-3.5	(95)	0.2	(76)	--	(--)	--	(--)	--	(--)
▲ JPM GBI Global TR Hedged USD	3.9	(35)	6.0	(5)	3.2	(19)	4.2	(9)	4.8	(29)	4.1	(83)	4.8	(75)

Franklin Templeton Global Bond Plus Consecutive Performance Comparison (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016



	Return (Rank)													
5th Percentile	2.4	9.3	14.3	19.7	12.7	15.3	48.4	8.5	11.4	11.6				
25th Percentile	0.7	7.3	5.9	14.4	7.0	9.7	22.0	4.8	8.3	5.6				
Median	-1.5	2.9	1.0	10.0	5.0	6.5	11.4	-0.6	6.1	4.1				
75th Percentile	-5.0	0.4	-0.7	6.4	2.4	5.7	6.6	-10.9	5.0	2.8				
95th Percentile	-14.6	-7.3	-5.5	2.7	-6.0	4.4	3.0	-26.5	0.6	0.2				
# of Portfolios	143	104	84	80	66	27	36	41	45	45				
● Franklin Templeton Global Bond Plus	-3.5 (67)	2.4 (55)	3.0 (37)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)	-- (--)				
▲ JPM GBI Global TR Hedged USD	1.3 (16)	8.5 (11)	-0.4 (71)	4.2 (89)	6.2 (39)	4.2 (97)	0.7 (99)	9.4 (4)	6.0 (55)	3.1 (70)				



Total Real Estate Asset Class Overview (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Real Estate	88,771,591	1.3	9.2	13.6	13.2	11.5	5.2	16.0	13.1	11.5	7.8	8.2
<i>NCREIF Property Index</i>		2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
<i>NCREIF-ODCE</i>		2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
RREEF	16,969,000	0.0	10.3	11.9	10.4	10.0	10.4	13.0	8.0	12.3	4.5	14.2
<i>NCREIF Property Index</i>		2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
<i>NCREIF-ODCE</i>		2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
RREEF America II	54,518,859	2.1	10.1	14.3	14.9	13.9	6.4	16.7	13.0	15.5	12.5	14.1
<i>NCREIF Property Index</i>		2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
<i>NCREIF-ODCE</i>		2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
TA Associates Realty	17,283,732	0.0	6.0	12.8	11.5	8.8	--	16.7	15.1	5.2	2.2	7.3
<i>NCREIF Property Index</i>		2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	--	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
<i>NCREIF-ODCE</i>		2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	--	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0

The market value for TA Associates Realty is as of 12/31/2015 and reflective of all calls and distributions. RREEF (Barton Creek) is as of 12/31/2015. 1Q 2016 data for RREEF America II is preliminary.

Total Real Estate Asset Class Overview (Net of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Real Estate	88,771,591	1.1	8.5	12.7	12.6	11.2	4.7	15.1	12.5	11.5	7.8	8.2
<i>NCREIF Property Index</i>		2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
<i>NCREIF-ODCE</i>		2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
RREEF	16,969,000	0.0	9.1	10.7	9.5	8.9	9.5	11.7	6.6	12.1	3.8	10.5
<i>NCREIF Property Index</i>		2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
<i>NCREIF-ODCE</i>		2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
RREEF America II	54,518,859	1.8	9.4	13.3	14.0	13.2	5.7	15.7	12.0	15.0	11.6	14.3
<i>NCREIF Property Index</i>		2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	7.6	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
<i>NCREIF-ODCE</i>		2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	6.4	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0
TA Associates Realty	17,283,732	0.0	5.4	11.8	10.9	8.0	--	15.4	14.6	5.1	2.1	4.4
<i>NCREIF Property Index</i>		2.2	8.4	11.8	11.9	11.9	--	13.3	11.8	11.0	10.5	14.3
<i>NCREIF-ODCE</i>		2.2	9.5	13.7	13.6	13.3	--	15.0	12.5	13.9	10.9	16.0

The market value for TA Associates Realty is as of 12/31/2015 and reflective of all calls and distributions. RREEF (Barton Creek) is as of 12/31/2015. 1Q 2016 data for RREEF America II is preliminary.

Total Alternatives Asset Class Overview (Gross of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Alternatives	127,174,536	-1.1	-10.2	-8.1	-3.3	-0.9	1.1	-8.1	-3.0	3.8	5.0	2.1
<i>CPI + 5%</i>		1.9	3.5	5.9	5.8	6.3	6.9	5.8	5.8	6.6	6.8	8.1
Gresham MTAP Commodity Builder	16,994,782	0.5	-24.0	-21.0	--	--	--	-25.4	-16.1	--	--	--
<i>Bloomberg Commodity Index TR USD</i>		0.4	-23.1	-19.6	--	--	--	-24.7	-17.0	--	--	--
<i>Commodities Broad Basket MStar MF Rank</i>		69	85	77	--	--	--	71	34	--	--	--
Wellington Commodity	17,778,390	3.0	-21.6	-19.7	--	--	--	-25.7	--	--	--	--
<i>Bloomberg Commodity Index TR USD</i>		0.4	-23.1	-19.6	--	--	--	-24.7	--	--	--	--
<i>Commodities Broad Basket MStar MF Rank</i>		6	40	63	--	--	--	73	--	--	--	--
Aetos Capital	28,021,424	-3.3	-3.8	-3.5	3.3	3.7	3.3	1.2	5.2	11.4	7.9	-2.5
<i>BofA ML 90 DAY T-BILLS + 400 bps</i>		0.8	2.4	3.1	3.5	3.7	5.0	3.1	3.5	4.1	4.1	4.1
Titan Advisors	28,340,857	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>BofA ML 90 DAY T-BILLS + 400 bps</i>		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

UBP liquidated 12/31/2015. Titan Advisors funded 2/1/2016.

Total Alternatives Asset Class Overview (Net of Fees)

Period Ending: March 31, 2016

	Market Value	3 Mo	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total Alternatives	127,174,536	-1.2	-10.7	-8.9	-4.1	-1.4	0.8	-8.9	-3.9	3.5	4.7	1.7
<i>CPI + 5%</i>		1.9	3.5	5.9	5.8	6.3	6.9	5.8	5.8	6.6	6.8	8.1
Gresham MTAP Commodity Builder	16,994,782	0.3	-24.4	-21.6	--	--	--	-25.9	-16.8	--	--	--
<i>Bloomberg Commodity Index TR USD</i>		0.4	-23.1	-19.6	--	--	--	-24.7	-17.0	--	--	--
Wellington Commodity	17,778,390	2.9	-22.1	-20.3	--	--	--	-26.3	--	--	--	--
<i>Bloomberg Commodity Index TR USD</i>		0.4	-23.1	-19.6	--	--	--	-24.7	--	--	--	--
Aetos Capital	28,021,424	-3.5	-4.4	-4.2	2.5	3.0	3.0	0.5	4.5	10.4	7.2	-2.0
<i>BofA ML 90 DAY T-BILLS + 400 bps</i>		0.8	2.4	3.1	3.5	3.7	5.0	3.1	3.5	4.1	4.1	4.1
Titan Advisors	28,340,857	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>BofA ML 90 DAY T-BILLS + 400 bps</i>		--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

UBP liquidated 12/31/2015. Titan Advisors funded 2/1/2016.

Performance Return Calculations

Returns calculated in the performance summary tables are time-weighted rates of return (TWRR). TWRR are calculated from changes in monthly market values, adjusted for weighted cash flows between months. Our performance methodology assumes that cash flows occur at the end of day for modified dietz calculations. Returns are linked geometrically and annualized for periods longer than one year.

Data Source

Verus is an independent third party consulting firm and calculates returns from best source book of record data. Returns calculated by Verus may deviate from those shown by the manager in part, but not limited to, differences in prices and market values reported by the custodian and manager, as well as significant cash flows into or out of an account. It is the responsibility of the manager and custodian to provide insight into the pricing methodologies and any difference in valuation.

Illiquid Alternatives

Closed end funds including but not limited to Real Estate, Hedge Funds, Private Equity, and Private Credit may lag performance and market value data due to delayed reporting. Verus will show market values for closed end funds as of the most recent reported performance adjusted for capital calls and distributions. Closed end fund managers report performance using an internal rate of return (IRR), which differs from the TWRR calculation done by Verus. It is inappropriate to compare IRR and TWRR to each other. IRR figures reported in the illiquid alternative pages are provided by the respective managers, and Verus has not made any attempts to verify these returns. Until a partnership is liquidated (typically over 10-12 years), the IRR is only an interim estimated return. The actual IRR performance of any LP is not known until the final liquidation.

Manager Line Up

<u>Manager</u>	<u>Fund Incepted</u>	<u>Data Source</u>	<u>Manager</u>	<u>Fund Incepted</u>	<u>Data Source</u>
SSGA S&P 500 Flagship Fund	07/27/2011	SSGA	SSGA TIPS	09/01/2009	SSGA
QMA Large Cap Core	12/01/2008	BNY	Franklin Templeton Global	04/03/2012	BNY
Waddell & Reed	06/04/2010	BNY	RREEF	07/01/2003	Deutsche
Robeco Boston Partners	02/01/1999	BNY	RREEF America II	03/01/2003	Deutsche
William Blair Mid Cap Grw	12/01/2006	BNY	TA Associates Realty	06/01/2007	TA Realty
Lee Munder Small Value	08/26/2009	BNY	Gresham MTAP Commodity	12/31/2013	Gresham
SSGA Russell Sm Cap Idx	05/17/2013	SSGA	Wellington Commodity	01/03/2014	Wellington
PIMCO RAE	08/14/2012	PIMCO	Aetos Capital	06/01/2005	Aetos
SSGA MSCI ACWI ex US	01/01/2010	SSGA	BlackRock Private Capital II	07/13/2005	BlackRock
Pryamis Intl Growth	12/01/2003	BNY	Pantheon USA Fund VI	07/26/2005	Pantheon
KBI Water Strategy	10/28/2014	KBI	PIMCO BRAVO	01/14/2011	PIMCO
BlackRock Fixed Income	12/01/1995	BNY	KKR Mezzanine Partners	07/08/2011	KKR
Doubleline Core Plus	12/01/2015	BNY	Stepstone Secondary Opps II	05/10/2013	Stepstone
MacKay Shields Core Plus	12/01/2015	MacKay	Titan Advisors	02/01/2016	Titan
PIMCO Core Plus	02/15/2012	BNY			
Shenkman High Yield	09/01/2010	SSGA			

Policy & Custom Index Composition

Policy Index: 23.5% Russell 3000, 23.5% MSCI ACWI ex US, 25% BC Agg., 3% MSCI ACWI, 5% BC US TIPS, 5% NCREIF Property, 5% Bloomberg Comm., 5% CPI +500 bps, 5% Russell 3000 +300 bps.

Glossary

Allocation Effect: An attribution effect that describes the amount attributable to the managers' asset allocation decisions, relative to the benchmark.

Alpha: The excess return of a portfolio after adjusting for market risk. This excess return is attributable to the selection skill of the portfolio manager. Alpha is calculated as: $\text{Portfolio Return} - [\text{Risk-free Rate} + \text{Portfolio Beta} \times (\text{Market Return} - \text{Risk-free Rate})]$.

Benchmark R-squared: Measures how well the Benchmark return series fits the manager's return series. The higher the Benchmark R-squared, the more appropriate the benchmark is for the manager.

Beta: A measure of systematic, or market risk; the part of risk in a portfolio or security that is attributable to general market movements. Beta is calculated by dividing the covariance of a security by the variance of the market.

Book-to-Market: The ratio of book value per share to market price per share. Growth managers typically have low book-to-market ratios while value managers typically have high book-to-market ratios.

Capture Ratio: A statistical measure of an investment manager's overall performance in up or down markets. The capture ratio is used to evaluate how well an investment manager performed relative to an index during periods when that index has risen (up market) or fallen (down market). The capture ratio is calculated by dividing the manager's returns by the returns of the index during the up/down market, and multiplying that factor by 100.

Correlation: A measure of the relative movement of returns of one security or asset class relative to another over time. A correlation of 1 means the returns of two securities move in lock step, a correlation of -1 means the returns of two securities move in the exact opposite direction over time. Correlation is used as a measure to help maximize the benefits of diversification when constructing an investment portfolio.

Excess Return: A measure of the difference in appreciation or depreciation in the price of an investment compared to its benchmark, over a given time period. This is usually expressed as a percentage and may be annualized over a number of years or represent a single period.

Information Ratio: A measure of a manager's ability to earn excess return without incurring additional risk. Information ratio is calculated as: excess return divided by tracking error.

Interaction Effect: An attribution effect that describes the portion of active management that is contributable to the cross interaction between the allocation and selection effect. This can also be explained as an effect that cannot be easily traced to a source.

Portfolio Turnover: The percentage of a portfolio that is sold and replaced (turned over) during a given time period. Low portfolio turnover is indicative of a buy and hold strategy while high portfolio turnover implies a more active form of management.

Price-to-Earnings Ratio (P/E): Also called the earnings multiplier, it is calculated by dividing the price of a company's stock into earnings per share. Growth managers typically hold stocks with high price-to-earnings ratios whereas value managers hold stocks with low price-to-earnings ratios.

R-Squared: Also called the coefficient of determination, it measures the amount of variation in one variable explained by variations in another, i.e., the goodness of fit to a benchmark. In the case of investments, the term is used to explain the amount of variation in a security or portfolio explained by movements in the market or the portfolio's benchmark.

Selection Effect: An attribution effect that describes the amount attributable to the managers' stock selection decisions, relative to the benchmark.

Sharpe Ratio: A measure of portfolio efficiency. The Sharpe Ratio indicates excess portfolio return for each unit of risk associated with achieving the excess return. The higher the Sharpe Ratio, the more efficient the portfolio. Sharpe ratio is calculated as: $\text{Portfolio Excess Return} / \text{Portfolio Standard Deviation}$.

Sortino Ratio: Measures the risk-adjusted return of an investment, portfolio, or strategy. It is a modification of the Sharpe Ratio, but penalizes only those returns falling below a specified benchmark. The Sortino Ratio uses downside deviation in the denominator rather than standard deviation, like the Sharpe Ratio.

Standard Deviation: A measure of volatility, or risk, inherent in a security or portfolio. The standard deviation of a series is a measure of the extent to which observations in the series differ from the arithmetic mean of the series. For example, if a security has an average annual rate of return of 10% and a standard deviation of 5%, then two-thirds of the time, one would expect to receive an annual rate of return between 5% and 15%.

Style Analysis: A return based analysis designed to identify combinations of passive investments to closely replicate the performance of funds

Style Map: A specialized form or scatter plot chart typically used to show where a Manager lies in relation to a set of style indices on a two-dimensional plane. This is simply a way of viewing the asset loadings in a different context. The coordinates are calculated by rescaling the asset loadings to range from -1 to 1 on each axis and are dependent on the Style Indices comprising the Map.

Disclaimer

This report contains confidential and proprietary information and is subject to the terms and conditions of the Consulting Agreement. It is being provided for use solely by the customer. The report may not be sold or otherwise provided, in whole or in part, to any other person or entity without written permission from Verus Advisory, Inc., (hereinafter Verus) or as required by law or any regulatory authority. The information presented does not constitute a recommendation by Verus and cannot be used for advertising or sales promotion purposes. This does not constitute an offer or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities, commodities or any other financial instruments or products.

The information presented has been prepared using data from third party sources that Verus believes to be reliable. While Verus exercised reasonable professional care in preparing the report, it cannot guarantee the accuracy of the information provided by third party sources. Therefore, Verus makes no representations or warranties as to the accuracy of the information presented. Verus takes no responsibility or liability (including damages) for any error, omission, or inaccuracy in the data supplied by any third party. Nothing contained herein is, or should be relied on as a promise, representation, or guarantee as to future performance or a particular outcome. Even with portfolio diversification, asset allocation, and a long-term approach, investing involves risk of loss that the investor should be prepared to bear.

The information presented may be deemed to contain forward-looking information. Examples of forward looking information include, but are not limited to, (a) projections of or statements regarding return on investment, future earnings, interest income, other income, growth prospects, capital structure and other financial terms, (b) statements of plans or objectives of management, (c) statements of future economic performance, and (d) statements of assumptions, such as economic conditions underlying other statements. Such forward-looking information can be identified by the use of forward looking terminology such as believes, expects, may, will, should, anticipates, or the negative of any of the foregoing or other variations thereon comparable terminology, or by discussion of strategy. No assurance can be given that the future results described by the forward-looking information will be achieved. Such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, and other factors which could cause the actual results to differ materially from future results expressed or implied by such forward looking information. The findings, rankings, and opinions expressed herein are the intellectual property of Verus and are subject to change without notice. The information presented does not claim to be all-inclusive, nor does it contain all information that clients may desire for their purposes. The information presented should be read in conjunction with any other material provided by Verus, investment managers, and custodians.

Verus will make every reasonable effort to obtain and include accurate market values. However, if managers or custodians are unable to provide the reporting period's market values prior to the report issuance, Verus may use the last reported market value or make estimates based on the manager's stated or estimated returns and other information available at the time. These estimates may differ materially from the actual value. Hedge fund market values presented in this report are provided by the fund manager or custodian. Market values presented for private equity investments reflect the last reported NAV by the custodian or manager net of capital calls and distributions as of the end of the reporting period. These values are estimates and may differ materially from the investments actual value. Private equity managers report performance using an internal rate of return (IRR), which differs from the time-weighted rate of return (TWRR) calculation done by Verus. It is inappropriate to compare IRR and TWRR to each other. IRR figures reported in the illiquid alternative pages are provided by the respective managers, and Verus has not made any attempts to verify these returns. Until a partnership is liquidated (typically over 10-12 years), the IRR is only an interim estimated return. The actual IRR performance of any LP is not known until the final liquidation.

Verus receives universe data from InvestorForce, eVestment Alliance, and Morningstar. We believe this data to be robust and appropriate for peer comparison. Nevertheless, these universes may not be comprehensive of all peer investors/managers but rather of the investors/managers that comprise that database. The resulting universe composition is not static and will change over time. Returns are annualized when they cover more than one year. Investment managers may revise their data after report distribution. Verus will make the appropriate correction to the client account but may or may not disclose the change to the client based on the materiality of the change.